



DEADLIER THAN

THE H-BOMB

Wing. Commander L. YOUNG

CONTENTS

CHAPTER	PAGE
Publishers' Note	5
Author's Preface	5
Introduction	6
I. Early British History	7
2. The Jews	12
3. The Khazars	15
4. The Jews in Britain	17
5. Money Lending	22
6. Some Effects of the Usury System	30
7. Two Jewish Plans	33
8. The Poison in Britain	36
9. The Poison in America	44
10. The League of Nations	49
II. Hitler.	5i
12. The War	56
13. Winston Churchill	60
14. The Plot in America	62
15. The United Nations	66
16. Post War Britain	68
17. A Hint from the Bible	71
18. Famous Men on the Jews	75
19. Other Means of Assault by the Jews	78
20. The Remedy	80
Bibliography	85

A U T H O R ' S P R E F A C E

After the Second World War and twenty-nine years in the Services I retired to civil life. Instead of being able to settle down peacefully, I have found myself in a world in turmoil and in constant dread of the H-bomb. This dread is being used to terrorise people into all sorts of courses. Like many others, I do not think that an atomic war is inevitable. In trying to assess the situation I have come to realise that we are in the process of actual destruction by something deadlier than the H-bomb; something, which in our blindness, we are allowing to corrode the props of our culture and civilisation; insidiously and relentlessly.

In this book I have tried to show the development of the main lines of attack, to sound the alarm and to introduce the reader to a number of other works on the subject which expound various necessary truths and remedies.

L. Young

Winscombe,

May, 1956.

Somerset.

INTRODUCTION

THE events of the last half-century, and particularly of the last decade, give us every cause to pause and think where we are going. The transformation which has taken place has been stupendous and very much for the worse. The greatest mistake that can be made is to regard events as episodic and that is just the mistake which our politicians, press, historians, economists, etc., persist in making, although it does not require much investigation to realise that there is a design behind events and that we are in the culminating period of a long and carefully prepared plot, which has been carried out with diabolical cunning and remarkable efficiency.

In this booklet an attempt will be made to give an outline of history sufficient to support the above statement. It must be realised that an immense amount of history has been written with the intention of hiding the truth. So a great deal of what is said here may come as a surprise to most people. Also, to cover so much ground in such a small space is very difficult and only an outline can be given. But to enable any reader who may wish to verify what is said or pursue the subject further, a bibliography is given at the end.

E A R L Y B R I T I S H H I S T O R Y

FROM some time after the Flood, probably about 2000 B.C. onwards, Nordic peoples, such as Phoenicians, Trojans and Israelites (it always seems to be taken for granted that the Nordic people are descendants of Japheth but, while admitting to not having made any extensive investigation into the subject, the only evidence the writer has come across suggests that they are descendants of Shem) had been sailing west from the eastern Mediterranean and both settling in and trading with the other Mediterranean coastal areas. Spain, the British Isles, Western and North-Western Europe. During the last centuries B.C. quite a number of Jews settled in Spain and they were probably the main ancestors of the " Spanish " or Sephardim Jews.

Now let us turn to British history. In about 1800 B.C., Hu Gadarn is said to have led the first colony of Cymri to Britain from Defrobane, where Constantinople stands. In the Welsh Triads he is said to have mnemonically systematised the wisdom of the ancestors of the people he led west. This is the educational system adopted by the Druids. The members of the order were the statesmen, legislators, priests, physicians, lawyers, teachers, and poets of the country. Britain became the headquarters of the druid religion which appears to have been similar to the old patriarchal religion of the East.

The motto of the Druidic world was: "The Truth against the World."

Hu Gadarn was regarded as the personification of intellectual culture and to him has been attributed the founding of Stonehenge and the introduction of several arts, including glass-making and writing in Ogham characters. On his standard was depicted the Ox and this may have been the origin of John Bull.

In 1185 B.C. Troy fell and most of the survivors joined their kinsmen who were already in this country, but it was not until 1136 B.C. that Brutus set out for Britain and landed at Totnes, the oldest seaport in Devon.

Brutus codified the laws of Britain and Lord Chief Justice Coke says: " The original laws of this land were composed of such elements as Brutus first selected from the ancient Greek and Trojan institutions. " In passing it may be of interest to note that a Trojan law of Brutus is that the sceptre of this isle may be held

by a queen as well as a king. We do not have the Salic Law prohibiting women from ruling.

The next king who left his mark upon our history was Molmutius, about 450 B.C., who was famous for his road-making and his laws. Lord Chief Justice Coke, in his *Origin of the Common Law of England*, says that " the Molmutius laws have been always regarded as the foundation and bulwark of British liberties ".

At the time of the Roman invasion there were forty Pruidic centres of learning, which were also the capitals of the forty tribes. The students at these colleges numbered at times as many as sixty thousand of the youth and young nobility of Britain and Gaul. It required twenty years to master the complete circle of Druidic knowledge, which included natural philosophy, astronomy, mathematics, geometry, medicine, jurisprudence, poetry and oratory. In those days Britain was thickly populated.

In 55 B.C., and again in 54 B.C., Caesar tried to occupy Britain because he found that in all his wars with the Gauls they were receiving assistance from Britain, which country seems to have been regarded among the Celtic nations as a sort of Holy Land. But he was so roughly handled that, when, in the following century Rome again decided to invade, the memory of it caused a mutiny of the legions gathered for the purpose. This is an event unparalleled in the annals of Roman obedience, just as the decisive double expulsion of Caesar is still without parallel in British history.

Claudius, the emperor, sent his favourite freedman and minister, a eunuch called Narcissus, to the scene and his taunts stung the legions into embarking. There now ensued a tremendous war lasting many years, in which the Britons were fighting the whole might of the Roman Empire, under its finest generals.

By this time Britain was becoming Christian. There are grounds for believing that our Lord spent some time in Britain, including a couple of years at Glastonbury, before taking up his ministry. But after the Crucifixion, Joseph of Arimathea led a party to Britain and, in about 37 A.D. started a Christian church at Glastonbury. The Druid religion was one which naturally merged into Christianity and the Christian faith received a ready response here. From the start members of the royal families set an example in embracing the faith and in missionary work.

The British had some remarkable leaders, notably the King Caradoc or Caractacus. He was eventually handed over to the Romans in fetters, due to the treachery of the Queen of the Brigantes in Yorkshire and taken to Rome with his family, but such was the reputation he had acquired in seven years of fighting that, when he entered Rome, he did so amidst the excitement of the three million inhabitants who blocked up the line of the procession to obtain a view of the formidable and illustrious captive, and: —

" Rome trembled
When she saw the Briton, though fast in chains."

The preservation of Caradoc forms a solitary exception to the policy of the Romans of casting captive kings and generals into the Tarpeian dungeons to be done to death. Instead he was spared on condition that he never bore arms against Rome again and a residence of seven years in Rome, in free custody, was imposed on him. He lived in the British Palace with his family, some of whom were already Christians, and thus the British Palace became the centre of Christianity in Rome. So, when St. Paul arrived in Rome, there was already a Christian Church there in which St. Paul ministered.

In II Timothy iv, 21, St. Paul mentions Pudens, Linus and Claudia. Linus was Caradoc's second son and he became the first Bishop of Rome. Claudia was daughter of Caradoc and Puderts, a Roman, was her husband.

After the capture of Caradoc, the Britons carried on the war under the leadership of his cousin, Arviragus. Eventually, in 120 A.D., Britain was incorporated with the Roman dominions, but, by treaty, not by conquest. The British retained their kings, land, laws and rights but accepted a Roman nucleus of the army for the defence of the realm. Finally, in 410 A.D., the Romans abandoned Britain altogether. Professor Huxley says that, during the occupation of Britain by the Romans, the British led a life as separate as possible from the invaders and that, when the Romans left, the population was as substantially Celtic as when they came.

From the start at Glastonbury the Christian faith spread and endured in the British Isles and, in the second century A.D., the British became the first officially Christian nation. It is significant that the Romans were not in the habit of interfering with the religions they found about the Empire but they went, to great lengths at times to try to stamp out Druidism and Christianity. A Druidic triad familiar to the Greeks and Romans was: " Three duties of every man: Worship God ; be just to all men ; die for your country." It was this last duty which caused Rome to mark Druidism for destruction because it ran counter to the Roman idea of universal dominion and the merging of all nationalities into their conception of one world.

They failed in Britain and eventually the Christian faith was carried all over Europe, including Rome, largely by the missionary efforts of the British. Constantine the Great was born and educated in Britain. His mother was Helena, a devout British Christian and daughter of King Coel of Eastern Britain. At one time Constantine served with St. George under Galerius in the Egyptian and Persian campaigns and, between the two young Christian soldiers, a lasting friendship was formed. Later, Constantine was proclaimed Emperor

in Britain, at York in 306 A.D. and set out, supported by native British troops, to conquer and Christianise the Roman Empire and " plant the Cross of Christ on the throne of the Caesars." (See George of Lydda.)

Later Britain was invaded by the Jutes and the Angles. The Angles, being the most numerous, gave their name, in this country, to the whole group which was known on the Continent as the Saxons. The Anglo-Saxons were not Christians but neither were they pagans. They believed in a Divine or Infinite Being and had a religion derived, it may be concluded, from the same patriarchal source as the Druids, because the Druidic religion had not yet died out in Britain and the Saxons appear to have found enough similarity between their own form of worship and that of ancient Britain to allow them to unite under the ministrations of a Druidic hierarchy. The Saxons were Nordic like the British, the Danes, the Vikings and the Normans.

The Saxons looked with suspicion on the efforts of the people they were trying to subjugate, to convert them to Christianity and so they were still non-Christian when, in 597, Pope Gregory sent the Augustinian mission here to introduce the Latin form of Christianity. It is possible that, the civil power of Rome being dead, the ambitious Romans were anxious to exercise the universal dominion to which they had been accustomed, through the ecclesiastical power which began to rise on its ruins.

It is interesting and important to note that, under the Druidic system, the British people had become the most civilised people in the world and the most tolerant and humane. Greek and Roman writers acknowledge the British Druids as world leaders in the study of astronomy and science.

The British Church developed directly from the ministrations of the Apostles, Joseph of Arimathea, Simon Zelotes, Aristobulus, St. Paul and possibly St. Peter, and consequently owed nothing to Rome. The British clergy, or Culdees, based their ministry on the Scriptures or Word of God, to which they adhered closely, and strongly objected to the Romish ritual, superstitions and wealth and power gathering hierarchical system. This opposition to the Roman system never ceased and was the main cause of Britain being the first country to throw off the Roman stranglehold at the time of the Reformation and to open up the Word of God to the people again.

When Augustine arrived, the British Church was strongly represented in the province of the Angles by an archbishopric, seven bishoprics and a great number of abbeys with most devout prelates. The British Church strongly resented the intrusion of an emissary of the Pope and, at a Council held shortly after his arrival, they told Augustine that "they knew no other Master than Christ", and

that "they liked not his new-fangled customs" and refused submission. They were as good as their word and maintained the liberty of their Church for five hundred years after his time, being the last of the Churches of Europe to give up their power to Rome.

But Augustine met with some success among the Saxons and, by favour of the Saxon king, Ethelbert, the Roman Church was set up at Canterbury. It was the origin of the Church known to-day as the Church of England and it will be noted that it is quite distinct from the origin of the British Church, which was 560 years earlier.

Under Alfred the Great the Gospels had been issued in the native tongue but as the Roman Church gained dominance it took all possible steps to confine the Scriptures to the Latin tongue and to destroy all British manuscripts that fell into its hands.

The kings, people and ordinary clergy, or Culdees, of Britain, however, never fully accepted the spiritual suzerainty of Rome and altogether refused to acknowledge political ascendancy. The gradual build up of this resistance ended in the Reformation. Also it was the struggle for religious rights which opened men's eyes to all their rights and led them to withstand political oppression.

THE JEWS

It is now time to turn our attention to the Jews for a while.

Throughout the Bible story is to be found an age-long struggle between Jacob and Esau and their descendants. Even before birth there appears to have been a pre-natal struggle. Esau lost his birthright to Jacob and went off and took unto himself wives of alien stock, Hittites. From him, by these women, were descended the Edomites, or Idumeans and the Amalekites. These people were in continual conflict with the Israelites and, later, the remnant which returned to rebuild the Temple after the captivity.

From Josephus we learn that the Jews under Judas Maccabeus temporarily subdued many enemies, including the Idumeans and then, in 125 B.C., when the Jews, under John Hyrcanus were again faced with the hostility of the Idumeans, he reduced their chief cities and compelled them to be circumcised and to be incorporated in the Jewish State in order to be able to stay in the land. So that from that time onwards the Idumeans came also to be known as Jews. Yet true Israelites were reluctant to admit the Idumeans to their community, for the latter were known not to be really converted and, in fact, from then on this seed of Esau-Edom continued to take advantage of all opportunities to pollute and destroy the Jewish race and faith.

The Herods were Idumeans and were responsible for the destruction of the priestly line, the murder of the infants in an attempt to prevent the coming of the Messiah, the slaying of John the Baptist ; and the Herodian party played no small part in the bringing of Our Lord to the Cross.

From 66 A.D. onwards the Idumeans were largely responsible, with the Jewish Zealots, for bringing about the destruction of Jerusalem in 70 A.D. It would also appear that the infiltration by the Idumeans into the Jewish nation had been such that the subsequent dispersion of the Jews included Idumean and other proselytes.

John viii, verses 33, 37 and 44, suggest that our Lord was addressing Idumean members of the nation because the Jews had been in captivity.

The Jewish faith, under the priests, had been based on the O.T. Scriptures and the Temple, but with the destruction of the priestly line the Jews came under the rule of the Kahal, based on the

Talmud and the synagogue which had been developed in Palestine after the captivity. The Kahal was a development from the political clubs which existed during the time of the Pharisees and Sadducees and it concealed, under a religious masque, the grasping aims of a clique.

By secret society techniques the Kahal obtained complete control over the daily life of the Jews and, with the dispersion and the formation of Jewish communities or fraternities about the world, each community became a miniature Kahal whose aims were intimately related with those of the central body upon which their existence depended.

The ruling clique which had started by grinding down its own race, now saw that, by drafting them into its own organisation, it could exploit the Gentiles on a far grander scale. It developed and perfected the system of espionage which it still maintains in order to strengthen its control and advance the interests of the Jews as a whole. For, although the individual Jew is the slave of the Kahal, he is rewarded for his submission by its support in his struggle with non-Jewish competitors.

The teaching in the synagogue incited the Jews to a thorough exploitation of their Gentile neighbours and the doctrine was eventually embodied in a book, called the Shulchan Aruk. A few quotations will suffice to show its character:

"When a Jew has a Gentile in his clutches another Jew may go to the same Gentile, lend him money and, in his turn, deceive him so that the Gentile shall be ruined. For the property of a Gentile (according to our law) belongs to no one, and the first Jew that passes has the full right to seize it."

"When a Jew makes a deal with a Gentile and another Jew comes up and deceives the Gentile no matter in what manner, whether he give him false measure or overcharge him, then both Jews must share between them the profits thus sent by Jehovah."

"Although it is not a direct obligation for a Jew to kill a Gentile with whom he lives in peace yet, in no case is he allowed to save a Gentile's life."

"It is always a meritorious deed to get hold of a Gentile's possessions."

"Marriages taking place among Gentiles have no binding strength, i.e., their cohabitation is just as the coupling of horses therefore their children do not stand as humanly related to their parents."

For success a Jewish community depended upon the absolute subordination of its members and the secrecy of its proceedings.

The Kahal concealed its activities from the outside world under the guise of religion and, in order to guard against traitors and renegades, shrouded itself in mystery and mysticism, even from its members. Through the ages the Jewish fraternities have kept

their typical character of a secret government disguised under the form of synagogue and schools. They have a heavy heritage, a Jewish conscientiousness, a hatred of non-Jews, a love of deceiving ; all this they cannot easily shake off and, with it, the yoke of the Kahal.

After the dispersion the Jews, with many Edomites and other proselytes, settled around the Mediterranean and the Black Sea and Caspian areas. During the 8th century A.D. the Khazars of Russia, an unpleasantly aggressive people, adopted Judaism and the result has been large numbers of Jews in Central Europe. These Jews, or more properly Khazars, known as the Ashkenazim or " Polish " Jews, contain very few Israelites being nearly all descendants of various proselytes and possibly including some Edomite descendants.

Throughout the ages the Jews have been great secret society adepts and have infiltrated governmental; financial, secret society and other organisations until they have obtained control behind the scenes but they take great care to try to hide the fact as, if it became known, their ability to retain control would probably be lost.

It seems possible, according to the records of secret Jewish Zionism, that Solomon and other learned Jews had, as far back as 929 B.C., thought out a scheme, in theory, for a peaceful conquest of the whole world by Zion and that, as time passed, this scheme was worked out in detail and completed by men who were subsequently initiated into the subject. But these plans were always kept secret even from the Jewish nation itself. The idea was to subdue other countries by an economical conquest.

(See *Waters Flowing Eastward*, by L. Fry and *A Short Study of Esau-Edom in Jewry*, by C. F. Parker.)

THE K H A Z A R S

Much of the sympathy which many people feel for the people they look upon as Jews is probably due to their thinking of them as descendants of Israel and to not having any idea that they are, in fact, mostly of pagan and aggressive Tartar-Khazar race. Perhaps it is worth giving the following information about these people from B. Jensen's *The Palestine Plot*.

"Authorities agree that persons of the Jewish Faith in Eastern Europe are the descendants largely of a non-semitic Turkish-Finnish race which came into Europe from Asia about the 1st century A.D. by a land route north of the Caspian Sea. These people are known in history as Khazars. The Khazars had always been a pagan people. They settled in Eastern Europe and there established the Khazar kingdom. By continuous and successful conquests for which the Khazars became famous in history, their kingdom increased in size until, by the 8th century A.D., it occupied the greater part of Eastern Europe located west of the Urals and North of the Black Sea and extending far westwards into Europe. The Khazar nation was converted to Judaism at about the end of the 7th century A.D. The Khazar king in (ca.) 692 selected Judaism rather than either the Christian or Moslem religions which were striving to convert the Khazar king and the Khazar nation to Christianity or to Mohammedanism.

" After the conversion of the Khazars to Judaism, only a Jewish king could occupy the Khazar throne. Conversion of the Khazars to Judaism was very successful. Judaism became the state religion. Synagogues and schools for teaching Judaism to the Khazars and peoples conquered by them were built throughout the kingdom. Rabbis to officiate in synagogues and teachers for the schools, were imported from Spain. These non-Semitic Turkish-Finnish people from Asia, now converted to Judaism, formed the Khazar kingdom which dominated eastern Europe: this great and powerful Jewish kingdom at the peak of its power, was collecting tribute from no less than twenty-five conquered peoples. Conquest was their vocation."

" This great and powerful Jewish kingdom flourished for almost five hundred years without a setback. Even the neighbouring mighty Byzantine and Persian empires feared the Khazars and eagerly sought military alliances with them. Towards the end of

the 10th century A.D., the Khazars were defeated in a war with the Russians (Varangians) who came down on them from the north. For the first time in their history this Jewish kingdom was defeated and the Khazars were conquered. This conquest of the Khazars was completed in the middle of the 13th century A.D. The Khazar population and former Khazar territory were thus incorporated into the expanded Russian state."

" The Khazar kingdom disappears at this time from the history of the world. The conquest of the Khazar kingdom by the Russians accounts for the presence in Southern Russia of the large and concentrated population of the Jewish faith. During the next few centuries, large parts of this concentrated Jewish population were included in the newly formed Polish, Lithuanian, Galician, Rumanian and other states which, through conquests were carved out of the former greater Russia. These new states were, in their turn, reconquered by Russia and these large Jewish populations were reunited again as Russians. From the 13th century A.D. to the outbreak of World War II the Eastern European area of Southern Russia underwent very little ethnic change and continued to include the descendants of the former Khazar Jewish Kingdom."

Ever since that day Russia has been invading and occupying neighbouring territory: "Conquest was their vocation." Disraeli remarked about the "mysterious Russian diplomacy" which was controlled by his co-racialists. With the 1917 Revolution the Khazars wiped out the Czar and the Russian aristocracy and completely reconquered Russia which they have kept in subjection ever since with the most appalling cruelty. They are also now well on the way to world domination by a combination of cool cheek and the use of all the dirtiest means which are effective for their purpose.

THE JEWS IN BRITAIN

The Jews now come into the British story. In 1066 when William of Normandy came to England he had Jews in his train. It is pretty certain that these Jews would have been responsible for the idea of compiling the Domesday Book in order to acquire a complete inventory of the country to give information to guide them in exploiting it by means of usurious money lending.

They became unpopular with the English but appear to have stayed in the country under the protection of successive kings who probably found them useful for revenue purposes until, in 1290, that great king and man of vision, Edward I, decided that it was necessary to expel them from the country for many grave offences endangering the safety of his realm and lieges. Twenty years later, Edward II suppressed the Knights Templar who were the bankers of the period and also the ancestors of Freemasonry. As a result England was prosperous during the 14th, 15th and 16th centuries and was known as Merrie England.

Later the Jews were also expelled from France, the Knights Templar having also been suppressed, as in England. The result in France was also great prosperity until the end of the 18th century when the secret societies and Ashkenazim Jews ruined it with the French Revolution. After that the Jews got a grip on the country and it has been in trouble ever since. A study of Nesta Webster's books on Secret Societies and Subversive Movements and on The French Revolution are of the greatest importance in connection with this. "They are a most exhaustive study of the subject and one which is essential to our understanding of what is going on in the world. One slight criticism of Mrs. Webster's work is that she does not seem to have realised the anti-Christian significance of British Masonry or of its real connection behind the scenes with other societies such as Grand Orient.

It would probably astonish at least 99 per cent of British Freemasons to be told that it is anti-Christian and, in essence, Judaic. The vast majority just become Masons and leave it at that. They have no understanding of fundamental Values and do not think of investigating. Most of them join because they have been told it is a good thing and that it will help them in their trade or profession. But, like all the other secret societies it is, in the final resort, under Jewish control and can therefore be used to exert influence on men

in all walks of life and usually in leading positions, to take courses which are designed to lead, in the long run, to the destruction of Gentile and, in particular, of British and Nordic power and prestige and the eventual complete domination of the world by the Sanhedrin or the inner ring of international financiers or the Elders of Zion or whatever you like to call the body of about 300 men referred to by Disraeli and Walter Rathenau.

Investigation shows that movements like Theosophy, Grand Orient, Freemasonry, Illuminism, The Templars, Rosicrucians, etc., derive their ideas from the Jewish Cabala. It is true that many of the leading lights in these movements have been Gentiles and that some of them have apparently been started by Gentiles. In fact, Adam Weishaupt the Bavarian Illuminist, seems to have been the chief architect of the modern secret society movements, but the control in all cases eventually passes into the hands of the Sanhedrin. In fact, in the final analysis, it all comes from Satan, as is proved by the black magic basis although, normally, this is only known to the most secret and advanced adepts. It is to be noted that the works of all these societies never further but are always to the detriment of the Nordic peoples. They are always against individual and national sovereignty. On the other hand they always work to further destructive purposes by means of Nihilism, Socialism or Communism. Also, they are always in favour of World Jewry purposes and were, for some time, of Pan-Germanism, because Germany was the base of the Jew financiers and Pan-Germanism was a means of greatly weakening the Nordic peoples and of spreading the chaos necessary for the breeding of Communism.

It has been stated above that the Reformation was really the result in England of the age-long resistance of the British Church to Roman domination but this does not mean that Britain was not influenced in any way by the Continental movements of Luther and Calvin. Calvin went to Geneva from France where his name was spelt Cauin, possibly a French effort to spell Cohen. The Jews claim that he was of Jewish extraction. As unfortunate result of his efforts, as far as Britain was concerned, was that he organised great numbers of revolutionary orators who were spread about Western Europe, with a good sprinkling in England and Scotland. These men laid the ground work for revolution under a cloak of religious fervour.

It should be noted that this religious fervour did not show much of the love of Christ. It was much more inclined to display the rigid legalism of the Mosaic Law and of the influence of the Talmud and to contract all religion into rigid observance of the "Sabbath", a Jewish ordinance and regarded as such by Calvin. In fact it was more like Judaism than Christianity. And Judaism,

be it remembered, was based on the Talmud and the Shulchan Aruk and inculcated a spirit teaching that aft non-Jews are animals.

Although they had been expelled, it is clear that the Jews retained, or in time regained contacts in England because, during the reign of Charles I, they organised the English Revolution by similar methods to those used later to organise the French Revolution. In both cases the revolutions were brought about by the activities of secret societies and the use of " mobs ", organised and paid from behind the scenes in London and Paris respectively. In the case of Paris, at least, the men who made up the ruffianly crowd were definitely imported into Paris for the purpose.

Cromwell was financed by the Amsterdam Jewish Rabbi Manas-seh Ben Israel and Fernandez Carvajal, " The Great Jew" as he was called, was the chief contractor of the New Model Army. It was the Jews who insisted upon and had the power to bring about, through the control of money, the execution or really murder of Charles I, in order then to be able to regain admission to England which they did, illegally, under Cromwell.

The "Levellers" and the " Rationalists" in the army had the same doctrines as the French Revolutionaries and they were what we, to-day, know as Communists. The evidence for all this is available from Jewish sources, e.g., the writing of Isaac Disraeli, father of Benjamin, Earl of Beaconsfield, the writings of Benjamin himself and in the Protocols of the Elders of Zion which fell into Gentile hands in 1897, is the sentence "Remember the French Revolution, the secrets of its preparation are well known to us for it was entirely the work of our hands."

But Cromwell, even with the assistance of his Geneva sympathisers, dispensing Judaic barbarity, failed to subdue Scotland where Charles II was still called King. It is of interest that Charles accepted the Presbyterian form of Christianity for Scotland and that this form is probably more like the old British Church than that of any other kind of modern times. Steadily the feeling in England came round to the Scottish point of view and, on Cromwell's death, all Britain welcomed the restoration of Charles II.

Unfortunately, Charles had no idea of the Jewish problem or plans. The wisdom and experience of Edward I had become lost in centuries of segregation from the Jewish poison. And the enemies of Kingship were now entrenched within his kingdom. Charles was, however, aware of the dangers of a " Popish Plot" cry and worked against it but, with the accession of James II the Jews developed propaganda against the Papacy and got the people divided on it, while, under cover of it, plans were prepared for placing control of the finances of both England and Scotland in their hands.

The chief figure amongst those who deserted James at the crucial moment was John Churchill, first Duke of Marlborough. According to the Jewish Encyclopaedia this duke, for many years, received not less than £6,000 a year from the Dutch Jew, Solomon Medina.

For further evidence on what is said above, reference should be made to The Nameless War by the late Capt. A. H. M. Ramsay, M.P. Nesta Webster's books and Isaac Disraeli's two volume Life of Charles I, published in 1851 and referred to by Ramsay.

After the Amsterdam Jews had successfully financed the rebellion against James II, in 1689, the chief of them, Solomon Medina, followed William of Orange to England. The result was to bring about a closer connection between the London and Amsterdam Jewish communities and the transfer of the centre of finance from the Dutch to the English capital. According to Disraeli its practice in England has been equally injurious. (Sybil, Book I.)

The real objective of the "Glorious Revolution" was achieved in 1694 when the Royal consent was given for the setting up of the Bank of "England" and the institution of the National Debt. This Charter handed over to an anonymous and private committee the Royal prerogative of creating money and converted the basis of wealth to gold. The money thus created was "negative money", a book entry, a debt which, by virtue of the mechanism itself could never be repaid. The charter enabled the international moneylenders to secure their loans on the taxes of the country instead of on the doubtful undertaking of some ruler or potentate which was all the security they could previously obtain.

From then on economic machinery was set in motion which ultimately reduced all wealth to the fictitious terms of gold which the Jews control and drained away the life blood of the land which was the birthright of the British peoples.

Shortly afterwards the political and economic union of England and Scotland was forced on Scotland with wholesale corruption and, in defiance of the adverse vote of every county and borough. The main objects of the Union, suppression of the Royal Mint in Scotland and Scottish responsibility, too, for the National "Debt", were then achieved. The grip of the money-lenders was now complete throughout Great Britain but there was a danger that the members of the new Joint Parliament might, in time, in the spirit of their ancestors, challenge this.

So, to provide against this, the party system was brought into being, thus frustrating true national reaction and enabling the wirepullers to divide and rule. The financiers used their newly established power to ensure that their own men and their own policies should secure the limelight and that they should have sufficient support from their newspapers, pamphlets and banking accounts to carry the day. This state of affairs is still in full blast to-day.

As Capt. Ramsay points out, gold was soon to become the basis of loans, ten times the size of the amount deposited. That is £100 in gold would be legal security for £1,000 of loans. At 3 per cent therefore, £100 in gold could earn £30 interest annually with no more trouble to the lender than the keeping of a few ledger entries. The owner of £100 of land, however, still must work every hour of daylight in order to make perhaps 4 per cent. It is inevitable that moneylenders must become millionaires and those who own and work the land, the Englishman and the Scotsman, must be ruined. The process has continued inexorably till now, when it is nearly completed. It has been hypocritically camouflaged by clever propaganda as helping the poor by mulching the rich. In reality it is nothing of the kind. In the main it has been the deliberate ruination of the landed classes, the leaders among the Gentiles and their supplanting by the Jew financiers and their hangers-on.

The Whig philosophy, descended from Calvinism and other Puritan movements, is always the attack of the black-coated theorist on the practical man, such as the farmer, the sailor, the engineer and the pioneer. Basically it denies personal initiative and judgments and substitutes a set of transcendental values incapable of and, indeed, almost resenting any attempt at proof. Once this is understood, it becomes clear how the philosophy is essential to the supremacy of the financial system and those who control it. What appear to be failures of policy are really the greatest successes. Words become reversed. Stealing is a crime but unnecessary taxation is statesmanship.

With the return of the Jews. Freemasonry also started and developed to such an extent that the country is now riddled with it, particularly in the higher grades of Government Service and the Church of England.

M O N E Y L E N D I N G

The Banking System which was foisted on this country in 1094 and during succeeding years over most of the world, has been the main means by which the Jews have brought misery and impoverishment everywhere. By their manipulation of finance, they have been the cause of most wars and economic and social troubles. They have been able to obtain control of governments and of the means of publicity (Press, Radio, Films, Publishing Houses, News Services, etc.) and so have been able to suppress the truth and propagate the lie. This has enabled them to fool and bully the people of the world into following the most suicidal courses until they are now in the position of expecting to clamp final dominion upon the world by means of a supranational organisation and some form of irresistible world police force which they would control.

To understand how the banking system has been the main means of enslaving us, it is essential to grasp one or two elementary and all important facts which have been successfully hidden from the public and even, apparently, from an overwhelming proportion of bank officials and economists.

Contrary to general belief, banks, by their own actions, create and destroy money. In his *Elements of Banking*, H. D. Macleod, the Victorian economist, wrote: "When it is said that a great London Joint Stock Bank has perhaps 25 millions of deposits, it is almost universally believed that it has 25 millions of actual money to "lend out" as it is called. It is a complete and entire delusion. These "deposits" are not deposits in cash at all—they are nothing but an enormous superstructure of credit."

More than fifty years later, in 1931, this was confirmed by paragraph 74 of the Report of the Macmillan Committee, set up by the Government to enquire into Finance and Industry. This said: "It is not unnatural to think of the deposits of a bank as being created by the public through the deposit of cash representing either savings or amounts which are not, for the time being, required to meet expenditure. But the bulk of the deposits arise out of the action of the banks themselves, for, by granting loans, allowing money to be drawn on an overdraft or purchasing securities, a bank creates a credit on its books, which is the equivalent of a deposit."

When a bank grants a loan, the borrower has to provide a

guarantee of its repayment. But what does the bank do when it makes its so-called loan, or when it allows an overdraft or buys securities? It does not touch any depositor's account ; in general, it parts with nothing and, at the most, it only parts with some promissory notes—promises to pay—and some coin, usually only a small fraction of the "loan". So the total of what banks are said to loan is far in excess of anything with which they part. Financially, debts pile up to them, while they part with very little. The difference between that with which banks part and that which they "loan" is called bank credit, because the public believe in their promises to pay and are willing to give goods and services in exchange for them, although the banks could never honour them all. It is only legal, now, to demand of banks another promissory note or coin which is cumbersome and, therefore, comparatively little used.

But the passage of coin complicates the whole thing to the average person as, in ordinary affairs, this means gain or loss to him. Our coin is issued originally by the Treasury to the Bank of "England" ; but offset against our continuously growing debt it is infinitesimal. It can be disregarded in the bankers' balances because, overall, the amount of coin which goes into banks, balances, the coin which passes out of them and makes little difference to them or their debts. So, in making a loan, a bank only parts with pieces of paper but, in doing so, it confers the power of drawing on a third party's goods. In reality, i.e., in goods and services, such loans are made by anyone but the banker. He only parts with almost costless symbols of the reality. But financially— by the above means, which the Encyclopaedia Britannica (14th Edition) summarised as " Banks lend credit. They create the means of payment out of nothing"—mounting debts become due to the banker.

When a bank debtor has collected enough money in any form to repay a bank loan, the repayment wipes out the debt ; and that amount of the banker's permits-to-use, which function as money, goes out of existence. The total money remains diminished to this extent if the banks do not wish to lend or buy for any reason.

" Thus all money is bank indebtedness and it is this cardinal fact that gives to the banking" system the power to expand or contract the quantity of money by increasing or diminishing the quantity of bank debts." (Midland Bank Review, Feb.-March, 1934).

The late Rt. Hon. Reginald McKenna, one time Chancellor of the Exchequer and for long the Chairman of the Midland Bank, said on January 25th, 1924, to a meeting of the bank's shareholders : "I am afraid that the ordinary citizen will not like to be told that the banks can, and do, create and destroy money." But the ordinary citizen should know so that he may come to under-

stand the immense power the banks receive from the function, because, whilst the banks power and gain from the issuing of money is vast, that from the prerogative of withdrawing it is even greater. This gives them the power to reduce monetary demand and thus to lower all prices and to wreck the whole business world. Then, with their power to create credits in their books, which are the equivalent of deposits, they can buy up industrial securities at slump prices and increase their control of industry, the wheels of which are set turning again by the very credits which have gained them the increased control.

"There are enough substantial quotations in existence to prove to the uninitiated that the banks do create credit without restraint and that they do create within themselves the means of repayment ", said an editorial in *Branch Banking* (July, 1938). But, while authorities inform us about bankers' methods, they never refer to the bankers' ends. Thus they tell us only half the truth. Pre-war, investigation showed that an average of 60 per cent. of the receipts of large organisations ultimately go to the banker as debt-charges, but the majority of the debentures of the large industrial concerns have already gone to him.

Money is said to be a means of distribution, but it has become, above all else, a means of centralisation ; a means that, with minor alterations, has remained unchanged since the days of Greece and Babylon. The end—centralisation—is almost complete. And, be it noted, the centralisation is that of all power and property into the hands of the inner ring of international financiers who, in fact, control the financial and banking systems of the world, including the Soviet part. After the Communists grabbed power in Russia, the country was brought under an orthodox financial system, i.e., the Russian Government bases its currency, as far as possible, on gold and creates bank deposit money or other credit as a debt at interest just as does every other country that has elected to remain within the ambit of the international money system. Russia, in spite of its "Socialist" theories became a "super-capitalist" country. The banking system was fastened on to it and the system is still controlled by a Jew, V. Ashberg, and the opposite number to Bernard Baruch in the Western world. It is important to notice that these Jew financiers, whether they be superficially "Dutch", "German" or "American", etc., have always been working for the same end—world dominion. They use nations in turn as best suits their purpose, under the conditions applying at any given time.

William Cobbett wrote in *The Political Register* XVIII, July 14th, 1810:

"I set to work to read the Act of Parliament by which the Bank of England was created (in 1694). The investors knew well what they were about. Their design was to mortgage by degrees

the whole of the country . . . lands . . . houses . . . property . . . labour, the scheme . . . has produced what the world never saw before—starvation in the midst of abundance. "

How right he was! The British people, by means of the banking system, have been practically robbed of their country and reduced to the position of being wage or salary slaves in it, entirely at the mercy of the international financiers for sustenance in return for their labour. The object of "full employment" is slavery, i.e., to keep everyone toiling for existence with no time to observe and think.

The financial system has been thoroughly exposed in books by a number of authors, but it is noticeable that they do not seem to be in general use for educational purposes or even to have been heard of by the general public, or by the people who should be familiar with them, such as bankers, economists, business men, Treasury officials, Chancellors of the Exchequer and politicians. A remarkably good book on the subject is *Human Ecology*, by Dr. Thomas Robertson, of Glasgow. It is difficult to get hold of because the publishers, William Maclellan, went bankrupt soon after publishing it and the author has not been able to get a publisher to take on the job since. There can be little doubt that the Money Power is responsible for this suppression. There are also books by C. H. Douglas, Vincent C. Vickers, Maurice Colbourne, C. Marshall Hattersley, the late Duke of Bedford, etc., which cover the subject adequately.

The money the British Government spends comes from the Bank of "England" and not from taxation. It is money created for the specific purpose of financing government expenditure and it is claimed by the Bank as a repayable loan. Ever since the system started our Governments have acceded to this fraudulent claim— fraudulent because it cannot be a loan unless the Bank gives up or goes without something, which it does not. In an attempt to repay this fictitious loan, the Government taxes the people and the money thus extracted from the people is an arbitrary amount fixed by the Government, as agent for the Bank, just as the Income Tax officials fix the amount of tax on any doubtful income. The amount is as much as the public will hand over without too much protest. The rest of this "debt" to the Bank of "England", which has not been repaid out of taxation, is added to the National "Debt", which is mostly the accumulation of unpaid bank loans.

As the National "Debt" ranks as a first class security it is almost entirely owned by, that is owed to, banks. Its repayment to them, as was explained earlier, would cause this amount of money to go out of existence and so would create, absurdly, a national financial crisis. While this unreal debt is represented in the national balance sheet, which the budget is supposed to be, our real national assets are not.

The Budget is, therefore, a deception, as is all monetary taxation. Taxation reflected truth when, in a primitive society, without money or a banking system, a title of the production was collected to give a non-productive servant of the society the means to live. It deviated from the truth firstly when it became payable in money which was not issued by the owner of the goods. The issuer of the money received a claim on the society's production equal to the difference in the value of the money taken over the cost of its making. Another error arose when the rise in power production enabled enough for all to be produced without taxing society's physical resources to the full.

Since the coming of mechanised production, there has been no justification for anyone to be impoverished because this great source of power could be fully exploited for man's benefit if money served to facilitate production and distribution, instead of being used, as it is at present, for building up "debt" to the few, who have acquired the sole monopoly of money creation and manipulation for the satisfaction of their lust for power.

Taxation has been supported by the Socialist theory that the "poor are poor because the rich are rich " leading to an attempt to tax the rich for the benefit of the poor but it has only led to the deprivation of the rich without real benefit to the poor. In fact, it has led to the real harm of all classes and is designed to do so by the hidden powers. All classes would have benefited if the real wealth had been produced as abundantly as it could be and its distribution by a satisfactory mechanism, devised to this end, had been permitted. But when workers demand more in wages, financial costs—and therefore prices—are increased. For them to abstain from work in order to get more money increases financial costs in two ways and harms all, even including themselves, when others follow their example, for it sets up a vicious spiral of price, which operates against them. Whatever gain they may have received is negligible in comparison to the wealth which the realities could have bestowed upon them. Had they demanded that prices should drop with new invention while wages remained constant, it would have reflected facts more and been better for all.

The Socialist concept is that "Capital" obtains its profits from giving " Labour " less than it is worth; but such words as " Capital " and " Labour ", abstracted from reality and used in the vague way they are, lead to misunderstanding. The real position can only be grasped by imagining ourselves deprived of all knowledge of power and production which we have inherited, except our physical strength. The return from the last alone would be almost negligible; and that would be the return from our labour so that " Labour " is seen to receive far more than its due. In contradistinction to this, however, we have inherited enormous assets of power, the result of past labour, which goes unrecognised and in

respect of this inheritance we are all due for some form of dividend.

When, as a result of our labour and our inheritance, goods and services have been produced, the nation needs to bring enough claims on them, money, into existence and to ensure that they are distributed in a manner reasonably representative of the mode of production; wage reflecting the labour and dividend the inheritance. Otherwise, neither the production will be fully employed nor the heritage fully enjoyed. Wages plus this dividend should be sufficient to enable the price of all goods and services produced for sale to be met. The producer, assured of his costs, could then produce to the full or to sufficiency and inventions would not need to be suppressed and there would be no point in having restrictive practices.

Abraham Lincoln, U.S. President, 1861-65, in Senate Document No. 23, 76th Congress, page 91, said: "The Government should create, issue and circulate all the currency and credit needed to satisfy the spending power of the consumers. The privilege of creating and issuing money is the supreme prerogative of Government, the Government's greatest creative opportunity." During the Civil War, Lincoln made the following statement to Congress: "I have two great enemies, the Southern Army in front of me and the financial institution in the rear. Of the two, the one in my rear is my greatest foe. "

Thomas Jefferson, the third President of the U.S., about 1800, said: "I believe that banking institutions are more dangerous to our liberties than standing armies. Already they have raised up a money aristocracy that has set the Government at defiance. The issuing power (of money) should be taken from the banks and restored to the Government and to the people to whom it belongs. If the American people ever allow private banks to control the issuance of their currency, first by inflation and then by deflation, the corporation that will grow up around them will deprive the people of all their property, until their children will wake up homeless on the land their fathers conquered."

It will be seen that both Cobbett in England and Jefferson in America, at about the same time, fully grasped the evil purpose of the banking system and that, as in each case the system has been allowed to pursue its course, their prophecies have now come to pass and the British and Americans have been dispossessed in favour of the banking system, behind which are the international financiers.

Most of the above section on finance has been taken from an essay on the subject by a friend, Mr. R. L. Duck, because it appeared to be very suitable for the purpose, but the whole subject is dealt with exhaustively in the books by Robertson, Douglas, etc.,

to which reference should be made by anyone anxious to study it. Robertson explains that a study of history shows that the world is an organism suffering from a disease with a wide variety of symptoms which always appear in a definite order. They appear in every part of the globe, except its "uncivilised" parts, and, irrespective of race, nationality, climate or position. The symptoms are the whole range of social disorders, the main ones being poverty amidst plenty, civil and political disorder, frustration, loss of liberty and strife of every kind, finally ending in war. They appear under every condition except one, being found in countries on the gold standard or off it, in ones with blocked currencies, managed currencies or on barter; in countries with free trade or on tariffs, in countries full of gold or those with none, in those with access to all raw materials and those with very little, in industrial and in agricultural societies and in countries which chiefly export and those which do not. Also they appear with equal indifference under all political systems, in monarchies (constitutional or not), in plutocracies and democracies, under dictatorships of race or proletariat, under Conservatism, Liberalism, Socialism or Communism, or any other kind of politics so far tried; and also under every religion or none. They also appear under Federal Union.

An examination of the factors present in these various cases shows that there is only one which is common to all and that is financial credit—the international money system, which has almost escaped notice. The money system must therefore be the basic cause of all the troubles. This is proved to be true by the fact that where the money system is the most powerful the disease is worst and by the fact that the only communities free from the symptoms are the "uncivilised" ones which have not been fully corrupted by the money system.

History shows how disastrous have been the effects on all peasant societies when a usurious money system has been introduced, whether in ancient Rome or, of more recent times, under the British in India, Fiji and Tanganyika, etc.

It will be noted that in the Bible and in the Koran, usury is strongly condemned as an evil thing. By usury is meant, not merely the charging of an inordinately high rate of interest, but the charging of any interest at all. If a usurious money system is introduced into a community, it is inevitable that the value of the money must continually depreciate and that the public must come into irredeemable "debt" to the people who have the power of creating and destroying the money.

It must also be realised that, as Douglas puts it, "power comes not from charging interest but in creating new claims and appropriating them." When the usurer lent the original gold coinage, he

created a debt claim and appropriated the interest. When, however, he began to create and lend money, he appropriated both interest and capital. The bankers' wealth and power finally derive from this credit creation and we are now reaching the stage where the bankers can view the disappearance of interest with composure so long as they are left in sovereign control of money creation. So it would be no use just stopping the charging of interest while allowing money only to be made by being lent into existence.

History shows that all societies with a usurious money system have collapsed in time. Do not forget that banks operated as long ago as in ancient Greece and Babylon. Rome was destroyed by the same means as those by which we are now being destroyed. Will we never learn? See Guglielmo Ferrero's *The Greatness and the Decline of Rome* and Dr. G. T. Wrench's *The Restoration of the Peasantries and Reconstruction by Way of the Soil*. The system always has the effect of destroying the sturdy peasantry, the aristocracy and agriculture and of breeding rootless urban mobs who are ultimately at the disposal of the unscrupulous financiers because of their control of the sources of information, propaganda and bribery.

SOME EFFECTS OF THE USURY SYSTEM

A STUDY of the facts shows that nearly all war and civil strife from the internecine struggles of the Greek City States, through the rise and fall of Athens and of Rome, to the wars of Napoleon were really misdirected efforts to escape the bondage of the usurers. The American War of Independence was caused by the oppressive legislation necessary to sustain the debt structure of the Bank of " England " .

The Napoleonic wars were the direct outcome of the growing might of the international moneylenders and of Napoleon's realisation of it and of his attempt to break the grip so as to be able to develop France in prosperity and free of the foul debt system. He was beaten because Rothschild, through his control of the City of London, was able to use the British people against him.

But the part of Freemasonry in this must not be overlooked. Napoleon was a Mason and had the assistance of the sect in his rise to power. Robespierre, another Mason like nearly all the French Revolutionary leaders, was his earliest patron. Although he remained in spirit and, in effect, a Freemason all his life, Napoleon was eventually betrayed, hoodwinked and led to his ruin by Masonry. An extremely interesting book on the subject is Grand Orient Freemasonry Unmasked as the Secret Power behind Communism. It is a reprint of lectures delivered by Monsignor George F. Dillon, D.D., in Edinburgh in October, 1884. It

contains a review of the rise and progress of atheism; its extension through Voltaire; its use of Freemasonry and kindred secret societies for Anti-Christian War; the union and "Illuminism" of Masonry by Weishaupt; its progress under the leaders of the first French Revolution and under Nubius, Palmerston and Mazzini; the control of its hidden "Inner Circle" over all revolutionary organisations; its influence over British Freemasonry; its attempts upon Ireland; oaths, signs and passwords of the three degrees, etc., etc. From it we find that:

"In his earlier days, Masonry was on Napoleon's side and, as now, the secret resources of the Order, its powers of hidden influence and espionage were placed at the disposal of the cause which was serving the purpose of its conspiracy. But when

Masonry had reason to fear that Napoleon's power might be perpetuated, when his alliance with the Imperial Family of Austria and, above all, when the consequence of that alliance, an heir to his throne, caused danger to the universal republic, it could otherwise assure itself of at his death; when, too, he began to show a coldness for the sect, and sought means to prevent it from the propagandism of its diabolical aims, then it became his enemy and his end was not far off. Distracting councils prevailed in his cabinet. His opponents began to get that information of his move-ments which he had obtained previously of theirs. Members of the sect urged on his mad expedition to Moscow. His resources were paralysed and he was sold by secret and invisible foes into the hands of his enemies. Weishaupt and his party, in Germany, prepared secretly for his downfall. And so he died on the rock of St. Helena, abandoned and persecuted by the dark sect which had used, abused and betrayed him as it has done the same with every usurper or despot whom it lures into its toils."

Possibly Mgr. Dillon's estimate of Napoleon was slightly biased by the latter's opposition to the Pope and the Roman Church and by the former's apparent failure to realise the significance of Napoleon's opposition to the international money lenders.

The opposition of the people of Ireland to English rule has always been caused by the depredations of the London usurer.

In considering the American Civil War it is necessary to remember that American Society, particularly in the Southern States, had developed on lines similar to those that obtained in England before the Revolution, i.e. a cultured society of landed gentry, more or less self-supporting and free of the moneylender's grip. The war was really brought about by the desire of the northern moneylenders, who were Jews, or tools of the Jewish Money Power, to get control of the country and to destroy the aristocratic southerners. The slavery issue was propaganda put out to raise feeling against the south. Abraham Lincoln fought the war to preserve the Union but he would have pursued a policy of reconciliation after it had been won. The Jews knew this and they also knew his ideas on currency. He had financed the war by the issue of Government debt-free money, known as "Greenbacks" and, on the evening of his announcement that he intended in future to finance U.S. loans on a similar debt-free basis and introduce a policy of reconciliation, he was assassinated by the Jew, Booth, obviously acting on the instructions and with the assistance of the secret powers. (See Douglas Reed's *Far and Wide*.) Lincoln, having been murdered, a policy of the utmost repression was carried out in the Southern States for the next dozen years, in order completely to ruin them but it did not entirely succeed in its object. And, of course, America remained in the grip of the moneylenders.

By the time of the revolutions of 1848, the Jews were in control of the system of the Illuminati and we know from Jewish sources that they organised the revolutions. It will be noted that the aim of Masonry and kindred secret societies is to work for the destruction of the Christian faith and the spread of atheism, socialism, internationalism, the destruction of royalty and aristocracy and the eventual setting up of World Government and the rule of Anti-Christ. The same aims as those of the Sanhedrin.

It is significant that the "Glorious Revolution" of 1689 in England resulted in the Bank of " England ", the French Revolution converted France from a Monarchy into a Bankers' State and the revolutions of 1848 fastened a central banking system on Germany.

TWO JEWISH PLANS

DURING the last half of the 19th century, there grew up side by side among the Jews or Khazars of Russia, two rival schools of thought about how to achieve world dominion. There were those who favoured the Communist revolution method in Russia, to be followed by the spread of Communism about the rest of the world and those who favoured the Political Zionist method, i.e. the establishment of a Jewish State in Palestine which should rule the world through the medium of Jews at the control of affairs in every nation. The protagonists of the two schools were apt to be in bitter opposition but both produced men of energy who met with remarkably good conditions for furthering their purposes.

Asher Ginzberg became the leader of the fanatically nationalist Zionists. He met with, but overcame opposition from the much more assimilated Jews further west who were divided into the two camps of the Rothschilds and the German-Jews in Germany and America. The German-Jews had invested a large part of their capital in German industry and shared, or pretended to share in the plans of Pan-Germanism.

It was at this time that the Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion came to light. They detail in very direct, forceful language the appalling plan of the Jews for obtaining complete mastery over the Gentiles. The remarkable thing is that they have continued to the present day to be carried out with complete fidelity and diabolical efficiency and all our politicians do about it is to vie with one another in currying favour with the international financiers by doing all they can to help them to destroy us. The Protocols should be studied by everyone and it is helpful to read them in conjunction with such books as *Waters Flowing Eastward*, by L. Fry, and *Hidden Government*, by Lt.-Col. Creagh Scott, and B. Jensen's books, listed in the bibliography.

It will be noted that the wars and revolutions of this century have all led steadily to the furthering of the Jewish purposes of Communism and Political Zionism. During the last fifty years this comparative handful of Jews have met with astounding success and have completely transformed the world. Probably the most astonishing thing has been the way in which politicians, particularly the British ones, have hastened to help them destroy western

civilisation and Christendom. It only goes to show the astonishing control of affairs the Jews have obtained by means of finance, Freemasonry and control of all the means of publicity.

Strange to say, the only real opposition these Jews have met with has been from individual Jews, probably mainly Sephardim, who have objected to the foul policy and have seen that it must inevitably end in great harm to the Jews, as being contrary to God's purpose for the return of the Jews to Israel, which they awaited Him to bring about in His own good time.

It has been calculated that no more than 8 per cent. of the Jews now living, and known as Jews, can be descendants of Judah or Israel. Consequently, the great mass of those who have gone to Palestine and evicted the Arabs, with the connivance of the United Nations, have not even got in their support the very feeble argument that they are descendants of the original Israelites. Possibly this explains Revelation ii, 9 and iii, 9.

The writer is in no way "Anti-Semitic", whatever is meant by that term. Neither is he "Anti-Jew". He is only opposed to the vile circle of power-lusting men who are working for the creation of an Anti-Christian World Government. This Sanhedrin, which has existed throughout the ages, has become remarkably cunning in subverting and using leading Gentiles to serve its purposes and it is quite happy to allow them to enjoy great wealth and power so long as they toe the line. Foul and atheistic Gentiles have been leading lights in the development of Masonry and other secret societies. International Finance is not entirely composed of Jews. In these days, the majority of the Gentiles who rise to high position, particularly in the English-speaking world, do so because they are considered suitable by the Sanhedrin and they are soon removed if they show any signs of getting awkward from the Sanhedrin point of view.

While it is ridiculous to suppose that all the Jews in the world spend their time plotting world wars, etc., it is probable that most of them are tools of the Sanhedrin to a greater or lesser extent. And the Sanhedrin would be quite callous and unscrupulous in using them as might be expedient. For example, a large number of the Jews, or rather Khazars, who entered Palestine after the war were almost certainly driven there by fear of the Sanhedrin.

The basic purpose of the Sanhedrin being to destroy the Christian faith and set up an atheistic world order, it obviously includes the pollution, perversion and destruction of any truly religious elements in Jewry which might therefore in time turn to the Christian faith and also, probably, the destruction of Nordic-type Jews along with the other Nordic peoples, as it is in the remnant of the Nordic descendants of Shem via Abraham and

Israel that the earthly part of God's purpose in Christ is to be worked out, assuming that it is correct that the Israelites were originally Nordic.

The situation is covered by our Lord in brief, in Matthew, Chap. xiii, verses 24-30 and 36-43. The "Kingdom of Heaven" in the parable refers to the Jewish nation.

The stupendous development of recent generations is well covered by a study of the books by Frances E. Newton, C. H. Douglas, A. K. Chesterton, B. Jensen, Douglas Reed, Colin Jordan, etc., mentioned in the bibliography at the end.

THE POISON IN BRITAIN

VERY briefly it should be noted that in Britain we received, during the latter half of the 19th and earlier part of the 20th centuries, an influx of German and Russian Jews, including Ludwig Mond, Sir Ernest Cassel and Chaim Weizmann. Mond and his son, Sir Alfred (1st Lord Melchett) were leading lights in the process of foisting upon this country the policy of monopoly of key industries together with the transference of information and control to so-called international bodies, the focus of which was then German, e.g. Imperial Chemical Industries and I.G. Farben. The Germans received information of our processes, but it was largely a "one-way street". These large monopolistic firms like I.C.I. are a source of great weakness to us. They are under international financial control working for Zionist purposes of procuring a world government for "Israel". In the past, the control of money, gold and credit has been the primary weapon of the Zionist, but as the money myth has been exploded as shown earlier in this paper (although not yet as far as the general public is concerned), legal control of raw materials is essential to the pursuit of the policy to a final and successful issue. Genuine and unfettered private property of any description whatever is absolutely fatal to it; and the liberal financing of any movement, "Commonwealth", "Liberal", "Socialist", "Henry Georgite", "Single Tax", or "Communist" which attacks the idea of private ownership in anything whatever, can be traced, without difficulty, to Zionist bankers. That is why the richest body of individuals in the world subsidises attacks on wealth.. Not a single one of the movements mentioned has ever attacked the Money Power or the Jews. But as it was impossible entirely to ignore the subject of finance, after the publicity given it by such events as the election of a Social Credit Government in Alberta, all the Left Wing parties have included the "nationalisation", i.e. central control of banking in their programmes. The objective is similar to that involved in the "Nationalisation" of coal. The object of the nationalisation of industries is to provide the international loanmongers with control of the assets, rather than the mere taxing power of the country. Nationalisation robs the people of their assets, besides being very inefficient and destructive of individual freedom.

The real conflict in the economic world is not between cartels,

monopolies and nationalised industries and property, but between all three and small businesses and privately owned property. The three are merely different forms of monopoly. Socialism is monopoly. Monopoly is the policy of the Sanhedrin and it is expressed in the various forms of cartel, " public corporation " like the "British" Broadcasting Corporation, the London Transport Board, the Tennessee Valley Authority, or outright State ownership of the Russian type. They all lead up through the stages to " nationalisation " in the individual states and on through various national groupings, where national sovereignty is surrendered, e.g. European Union, N.A.T.O., the Soviet bloc, Anzus Pact, United Nations, to the ultimate object of World Government. All the stages, as they are achieved, have the effect of bringing the organisations concerned more firmly under the control of the Sanhedrin.

In the process all individual sovereignty is wiped out, because all the people are brought into the position of being "wage" or " salary slaves " of the monopoly control. We are well on the way to that state of affairs in this country. The whole of the taxation system has been deliberately designed to produce the result by means of death duties, graduated income tax, etc. The object is to destroy the real aristocracy and its inherited memory of tradition and policy and all individual independence and by an issue of bribery in the form of " social services " corresponding to the " bread and circuses " of Roman days, to persuade the numerous mob to vote for measures which they do not understand and which amount to signing the death warrant of the whole nation.

Since the cynically insincere opportunist Disraeli injected his Liberal and Radical Jewish poison into the Tory Party, it has become less and less Tory and more and more Socialist and Internationalist in effect until it is now for all practical purposes, as completely under the control of the Sanhedrin as is the Socialist Party.

The parties are merely used by the Sanhedrin to keep the people divided over unreal issues while all the time they are, in their blindness, being led into complete subjection. No party attacks the Sanhedrin or Dollar Barons. No party mentions, let alone advocates monetary reform. No party will give heed to any suggestion that an investigation be made into secret societies and subversive movements. It makes no real difference which party is in office, the Sanhedrin is always in power. They all pursue what is fundamentally the same policy of destroying Great Britain and the British Empire. Look at the way we have been running away from our responsibilities of Empire all over the world during the last ten years under the pressure of the hidden forces working on the lamentable figureheads in office. It appears to be impossible

to rise in any party without making suitable obeisance to Judaeo-Masonry.

It is significant that such organisations as the Fabian Society, the London School of Economics, P.E.P., and the Royal Institute of International Affairs (Chatham House) were richly endowed by Jewish finance and have been largely guided by Jewish influence in instilling the Fifth Column doctrines of Socialism and Internationalism into our body politic.

The Fabian Society, with its associated organisations, is the fountainhead of Socialist ideas in English-speaking countries. Socialist Movements all over the world were dominated by the influence of the Jew Fabian, Harold Laski. After discussions with Stalin, late in 1946, Laski made the very important statement that the English Socialists and the Russian Socialists were approaching the same objective by different roads. Karl Marx, the Jew, had said that the British would never make their own revolution and that foreigners would have to make it for them. But the horde of German and Russian-speaking Jews who poured into this country for the purpose have managed to find a large number of Gentile dupes to work for them. The Society had been formed under the leadership of Professor Thomas Davidson, "an ethical Anarchist Communist", in the winter of 1883-84. But he was superseded by the Webbs and George Bernard Shaw.

As indicated by Laski, the policy adopted was not the violent revolution one used in Russia, but a silent revolution based on the undermining of the nation's institutions from within. The idea was to influence all other political groups by permeation and infiltration : Sovietisation by stealth. These infiltrators met with astonishing success, particularly in the Liberal Party and later in the Labour Party which they took over and converted into a Socialist Party; the unfortunate Labour representatives having completely failed to see that Socialism was diametrically opposed to true Labour interests.

H. G. Wells described the Fabian leaders as pedants who believe that fair ends may be reached by foul means, and said that Sidney Webb was an "incessant little intriguer". Writing of Webb the Jew historian, Elie Halevey, wrote: "I can still hear Sidney Webb explaining to me that the future belonged to the great administrative nations, where the officials govern and the police keep order." He also mentions Shaw arguing that: "The world is to the big and powerful states of necessity and the little ones must come within their borders or be crushed out of existence."

As an example of what happened, George Lansbury relates how the International Financier, Sir Samuel Montagu (Lord Swaythling), gave him financial support to keep strikes going until their partner-

ship was broken by Lansbury becoming a definite Socialist. Montagu wanted Lansbury to stay in the Liberal Party and preach his Socialism inside it.

The Fabians understood the weakness of most politicians, of all parties, to tend to centralise political power and set to work to get them to support legislation which would so centralise power that a process of delegation of power to a growing bureaucracy became inevitable. Once the bureaucracy was empowered to make regulations and decrees having the force of law, responsible Government was undermined. That is, they deliberately set out to pervert the Parliamentary system and to use it to reach the same objective which the Communists wanted to reach by force.

It is worth noting that the introduction of universal suffrage, combined with the secret ballot, inevitably leads to irresponsible voting and to irresponsible politicians who will plead mandates for their actions.

The London School of Economics was, according to Mrs. Webb, financed with the help of the Rothschilds, Sir Julius Wernher and similar financial magnates. Lord Rothschild is the "British" head of the most powerful family money trust in the world. He joined the "British" Labour Party in 1945. During the war he was closely connected with the policy of interning British patriots (Gentiles) under Regulation 18b. In 1920, Sir Ernest Cassel gave the School £472,000, when it was in serious financial difficulties, in order to make it a place " to raise and train the bureaucracy of the future Socialist State".

Cassel's favourite grand-daughter was Lady Mountbatten, whose Leftist views are supposed to have had a big influence on her husband. We have seen the part they played in the scuttle from India, to say no more.

The influence of the School has been world-wide. The " key " members of the bureaucracies in all English-speaking countries have been trained there and its teachings have permeated the universities. The School was much under the influence of Laski, whose philosophy was summed up as follows: "Christianity has failed, and the Russian ideal is taking its place as the inspiration of mankind, and as the standard of public morality." Sir William Beveridge, advocate of a "half-way to Moscow policy" was Director of the School from 1919-1937. The influence of the School has been evil wherever it has permeated.

P.E.P. (Political and Economic Planning) is a semi-secret Socialist organisation developed by the Fabians as part of their conspiratorial technique. Lord Melchett of I.C.I. was associated with it. He was a leading advocate of "rationalisation", which Trade Union leaders accepted as a step towards nationalisation. In

1920, H. G. Wells said: "Big business is by no means antipathetic to Communism. The larger big business grows, the more it approximates to Collectivism. It is the upper road of the few instead of the lower road of the masses to Collectivism."

Fabians and other Socialists are keen supporters of economic centralisation and the crushing of large numbers of small and medium-sized businesses. The most prominent in P.E.P. has been Mr. Israel Moses Sieff of Marks & Spencer. He is also one of the leading Zionists and a Russian-speaking Jew. P.E.P.'s typically Fabian conspiratorial methods are shown by the instructions issued on April 25th, 1933, with a broadsheet outlining what can best be called Sovietisation by stealth—" You may use, without acknowledgement, anything which appears in this broadsheet on the understanding that the broadsheet and the group are not publicly mentioned, either in writing or otherwise. This strict condition of anonymity is essential in order that the group may prove effective" The broadsheet then went on to outline how farmers and manufacturers should be controlled by " duly constituted authority" and that small traders should be eliminated. The broadsheet showed beyond all doubt the totalitarian nature of the policy being pursued by P.E.P.

It is hardly surprising that, in connection with the policy of gradual Sovietisation put forward by P.E.P., Mr. Sieff made the claim that: The only rival world political and economic system which puts forward a comparable claim is that of the Union of Soviet Republics."

On October 14th, 1938, *Planning*, the journal of P.E.P. stated that: " We have started from the position that it is only in war, or under the threat of war, that a British Government will embark on large-scale planning", and went on to say that " . . . emergency measures should, as far as possible, be framed in accord with the long-term needs of social and economic reconstruction." It will be seen that the Fabians welcome war conditions to further their ideas, as do their masters the Sanhedrin. It is significant that the Socialists kept working to embroil us in war and, at the same time, voted for disarmament.

P.E.P. also infiltrated the "Conservative organisations and it is of interest that Churchill has made great use of Lord Woolton, of Lewis Ltd., and one time fervent Fabian. Churchill himself, of course, has been a lifelong intimate friend of leading Jew financiers like Bernard Baruch, Sir Ernest Cassel and Sir Abe Bailey. He is also a hereditary Whig-Liberal, a life-long Zionist and a worker for World Government, notwithstanding his oath of allegiance to the British Crown.

The ideas of P.E.P. have had considerable influence in America,

particularly during the Roosevelt regime. In 1934, Mr. Louis T. McFadden, an American Congressman and recognised authority on banking matters, exposed the connection between the New Dealers in America and the English Fabians when he said: " Many serious people in England feel that this Fabian organisation (P.E.P.) practically controls the British Government and that this Government will soon be known as " His Majesty's Soviet Government" . . . About three months after the National Recovery Act (the first of the New Deal Socialist measures) of the United States, when Israel Moses Sieff was urged by members of his Committee to show more activity, he said: " Let us go slowly for a while, and wait and see how our plan carries out in America."

On March 15th, 1934, in an address criticising the New Deal Socialist legislation for controlling cotton acreage in the U.S.A., Mr. McFadden said: " Their action (the New Deal Planners) in this matter is also assisted and aided through the agency of the Foreign Policy Association of the United States, which is directly connected with the Fabian Society, or a branch of it, in England, which at the present time is attempting to take over the control of agriculture and its operation in England I call your especial attention to the recent article America Must Choose by Secretary of Agriculture Wallace, a syndicated article put out under the auspices of the Foreign Policy Association of New York and copyrighted by them. This article is quite in keeping with the plan of the British offspring of the Fabian Group."

Note that the Foreign Policy Association was sponsored by Paul M. Warburg of Kuhn, Loeb Co., and by Bernard Baruch the continuing "unofficial President" of the U.S.A. Also Mr. James Warburg has openly expressed himself in favour of some nationalisation. It is inescapable that Socialism is the policy of the International Financiers.

George Bernard Shaw said: " Lenin owed a great deal of his eminence to the fact that, in his younger days, he studied the works of Sidney Webb The success of the Russian experiment means that old words, like Fabianism and Socialism, are all out of date. There is nothing now but Communism."

One of the basic features of the Soviet economy is economic conscription. Irrespective of how it is introduced, Socialism in practice inevitably leads to manpower control. Shaw was quite clear about this when he said, in the October issue, 1921, of *The Labour Monthly*: "Compulsory labour, with death as the final penalty is the keystone of Socialism."

It is clear that the ends of the Socialists and the Communists are the same—the Monopoly State. The only quarrel between them is as to the means of attaining it. The Socialists prefer the

"democratic" method of the ballot box, and the Parliamentary system, by which slavery can be introduced just as effectively as by direct violence. What is the difference to an individual between having his property taken away from him by Act of Parliament (as in nationalisation) or at the point of a bayonet?

Socialists may argue that their "democratic" methods ensure financial compensation to all persons who have property taken from them by legislation, but Fabians have made it clear that such compensation will also be taken back from them by crippling taxation. The Scotsman of January 7th, 1946, reported Professor Laski as follows: "Professor Laski said he had never been worried about compensation so long as there was a Labour Chancellor of the Exchequer who could fix the levels of taxation, especially Death Duties, Estate Duties and Legacy Duties. Compensation was a book-keeping transaction."

There is ample evidence that the Fabian-trained Socialists are working for the eventual overthrowing of the Parliamentary system in favour of rule by officials on the lines of the Russian plan of Commissars. Also, in the words of Mr. Attlee, they are deliberately putting world order before loyalty to the country.

The Fabian-Socialists, in common with the Communists and Zionists, are agents of the Sanhedrin for destroying the power of the British peoples to resist the Satanic plot of world dominion, whether they are conscious of it or not. They have been so successful in poisoning the minds of all classes in the country that even the "Conservatives" have become a dead loss so far as defending and preserving our institutions and liberties are concerned. Their actions, if not always their words, serve the purposes of the Sanhedrin just about as effectively as do those of the Socialists.

Chatham House was also richly endowed by the leading Jewish Industrial Trusts and Banking Houses, such as N. M. Rothschild and Sons, Reuters Ltd., J. M. Schroeder & Co., and their Gentile fellow-travelling associates: the Rockefeller Foundation, the Carnegie Trust and the Prudential Assurance Co. It has proved to be one of the chief centres of the insidious, stealthy propaganda aimed at undermining our National Sovereignty, and its director, Professor Toynbee, is the classical exponent of the sinister Fifth Column doctrines of "Internationalism". In 1931 he delivered a speech at Copenhagen which offered further glimpses of the workings of the International Conspiracy to deprive countries of their political frontiers, and individuals of their rights. In the course of it he said: "In plain terms we have to re-transfer the prestige and the prerogatives of sovereignty from the fifty or sixty fragments of contemporary society. I will merely repeat that we are at present working, discreetly, but with all our might, to wrest this mysterious political force called Sovereignty out of the clutches of

the local national states of our world. And all the time we are denying with our lips what we are doing with our hands."

Chaim Weizmann, a Jew from Russia, came to this country and, within a few years he had successive British Governments carrying out his plans for setting up a Jewish State in Palestine, such was the powerful influence of Jewry in the country. In fact, the Socialists supported Zionism so strongly that he has stated that he often had to go down on his knees to implore British "Labour" politicians to moderate their demands on behalf of Zionism. The real objective of the Sanhedrin in supporting the Zionists can be imagined from a paragraph in a letter from Mr. Louis Marshall, the legal officer of Kuhn, Loeb & Co., and a leading attacker of Gentiles who have attempted to disclose the Jewish World Plot. The letter was written to a fellow Jew, Max Senior (September 26th, 1918) and this was the paragraph: "The Balfour declaration, with its acceptance by the Powers, is an act of the highest diplomacy. It means both more and less than appears on the surface. Zionism is but an incident of a far-reaching plan ; it is merely a convenient peg on which to hang a power weapon."

The Sanhedrin is working for complete world dominion and a Jew State in Palestine would be useful because Palestine is the most important strategic centre in the world. Also the mineral wealth of the Dead Sea has been estimated at 5,000,000,000,000 dollars. So one can understand why the British and the Arabs have been ejected with United Nations assistance. B. Jensen's books are a mine of information on these subjects.

Most British politicians, from Lloyd George onwards, have been proteges of the Jew financiers or in some way under their influence. For many years the control of our financial policy was in the hands of the Jew-descended and Jew-inspired Montagu Norman.

THE P O I S O N I N A M E R I C A

IN America we have seen that men like Jefferson and Lincoln saw the menace of the financial system but were unable to prevent its being clamped on their country. In the late 19th and early 20th centuries an outstanding American was William Jennings Bryan, a champion of monetary reform. He was the friend and collaborator of Arthur Kitson, the great Anglo-American engineer who was the pioneer of the modern assault on the bankers. In one of his speeches, Bryan said that humanity was crucified on a cross of gold and also made the following statement:

"The money power preys upon the nation in times of peace and conspires against it in times of adversity. It is more despotic than monarchy, more insolent than autocracy, more selfish than bureaucracy. It denounces, as public enemies, all who question its methods or throw light upon its crimes."

By now it should be agreed that the first big move in obtaining financial control over a country's destinies is to gain control of the issue of currency, which involves two main principles—a single, controllable currency basis, such as gold, and a monopoly of the right to circulate notes and otherwise issue credit on that foundation. In the United States, the bankers attained these objectives by causing Stock Exchange panics that brought ruin to thousands of honest producers. In 1890, there was a monetary stringency and in 1893 the Government introduced the Sherman Silver Purchase Act to provide the means, by Government purchase of silver, of preventing currency contraction. This was a threat to gold, the chosen medium of the bankers and so as to sabotage the Government's plan, the American Banking Association circulated the following instructions to members:

"Silver, certificates and treasury notes must be retired and national bank notes upon a gold basis made the only money. This will require the authorisation of 500 millions to 1,000 millions of new bonds as the basis of circulation. You will at once retire one-third of your circulation and call in one half of your loans. Be careful to make a money stringency among your patrons, especially among influential business men. Advocate an extra session of Congress to repeal the purchasing clause of the Sherman Law."

Owing to the power of the Sanhedrin, India was brought in to

help the campaign by stopping the minting of silver and this, with the panic deliberately caused by the New York Bankers, led to the closing down of silver mines, the shutting of factories, the crashing of banks, widespread ruin—and, of course, the repeal of the offending Act. Gold was to be the sole basis of currency in future, as the bankers planned.

But crashes of this diabolical kind, which often had a political motive, also enabled those in the centre of the conspiracy to turn the chaos to their own advantage. One result of the 1893 panic was that the Union Pacific Railroad came into the hands of Jacob Schiff, head of the banking firm of Kuhn, Loeb & Co. A few years later, Schiff brought the Great Northern Pacific Railway crashing to the ground, and from the ensuing panic his firm emerged as the complete masters of American railway finance and became an acknowledged member of the Money Trust which dominated the entire field of American Capitalism.

At this period, the main leaders of international finance were the German-Jew bankers and notably the Warburgs. As they thought William Jennings Bryan and his bi-metallist campaign in America more menacing to the money-power than anything in Europe, Felix and Paul Warburg crossed to Chicago and New York to become "Americans" and Paul joined Jacob Schiff in Kuhn, Loeb & Co. Steps were now taken to gain control of all currency through a central banking system. In 1907 there was another great crisis when the Knickerbocker Trust failed because manipulators of the millionaire class wilfully created a run on the banks, from which they emerged incomparably more powerful, having bought up the stock of the ruined victims which they held to resell at par. At the same time, the Steel Trust was able to complete its absolute monopoly. Solomon Loeb, of Kuhn, Loeb & Co., was a member of the Knickerbocker Trust.

Appalled at the ducks and drakes being played with the money system, genuine idealists like Woodrow Wilson and Bryan determined upon large-scale reforms to create order. Unfortunately they were lacking in technical understanding of the problem and when Paul Warburg assured them that he knew just how to attain their aim of securing stability in the price level by means of a Federal Reserve System, they fell in with his plan. The idea was to hold reserves centrally and despatch supplies of credit to any necessitous bank in the system that might call for them. At the end of 1913, the Federal Reserve Act was passed. When introduced, the vital clause was a provision for fixing the discount rate to promote stability in the price level. When the bill emerged the words "to promote stability in the price level" were surreptitiously dropped. At subsequent investigations, officials of the Federal Reserve Board even denied that such had ever been its function.

The attainment of this Act had taken five years of skilful propaganda, backed by unlimited funds and the full influence of Masonic Lodges. And Paul Warburg was head of the Federal Reserve Board so that he and his friends now had exclusive power of note issue to the reserve banks and power to fix the discount rate, meaning power to determine the amount of money in existence. They had conquered America and were now ready to conquer the world.

In order to account for the emigration of the immensely wealthy and successful Warburgs to America, it is necessary to understand first that the "City of London" was a very large creditor both of the United States and Russia, and so Great Britain was in a position to make representations on foreign policy to both of them and was interested in constantly improving relations with her debtors, and second, that war with Great Britain had, for some time, been the settled policy of those who controlled Germany. It was therefore most important to minimise the importance of Great Britain's creditor position and to paralyse Russia, the ever-present threat to Germany's eastern flank.

Warburg, was in control of American credit when the war started in 1914. War has great advantages for the financiers. It places nations at war in urgent need of credits which the financiers can bestow on their own terms. It also unsettles things, making it easier to change the masters of a people. These Jew financiers wished to get rid of monarchs, particularly the Czar, and set up a Bankers' State.

Under Warburg's influence, the United States was a serious handicap to the Allies until Jewish influence and bribery brought about the downfall of the Russian Empire and the withdrawal of the Russian Army from the conflict. The Bolsheviks were directly subsidised by Messrs. Schiff, Paul Warburg, Max Warburg and the rest of Kuhn, Loeb & Co., and they were led by Lenin and a bunch of Jews, mostly from New York. The Communist leaders were, always have been, and still are, nearly all Jews or married to Jewesses. (See Fraudulent Conversion, etc.)

The financiers gained their first objective with the fall of Imperial Russia. At the same time they succeeded in getting Great Britain hopelessly and inextricably into their debt, largely by guarantees on behalf of other belligerents. The 1st Lord Reading (Rufus Isaacs), a Jew, went to America in 1917 and, on behalf of Great Britain, negotiated a loan of £1,000,000,000, on the quite impossible terms that we should repay on demand, and in gold. This deal was concluded with his fellow Jews of New York and the terms have never been disclosed other than that mentioned above. Isaacs later became Viceroy of India and he and his fellow Jews and their Socialist stooges were responsible for the chain of

events ending in our scuttle from that sub-Continent. After the Isaac's deal, Britain was safely "in the bag."

The Jew control of finance was now centred in New York. The defeat of Germany and Austria would mean two more crowned heads out of the way of a bankers' world state. So America entered the war and took part in the "Peace" Conference in Paris in 1919, which made the resumption of war inevitable, owing to the financial clauses which were passed.

At this conference the chief financial adviser to the German delegation was Dr. Carl Melchior, partner of Max Warburg, whose brothers Paul and Felix were partners of Jacob Schiff, in Kuhn, Loeb & Co. The chief economic adviser to the American delegation was another Jew, Bernard M. Baruch, the dictator of the all-powerful War Industries Board in America during the war and an associate in business of Jacob Schiff. The British economic delegation was headed by Lord Cunliffe, former Governor of the Bank of "England" and a partner in the international Jewish banking house of Goschen (ancestral city—Leipzig, Germany). In connection with this conference, Lloyd George wrote in his memoirs: "They (the international bankers) swept statesmen, politicians, jurists and journalists all on one side and issued their orders with an imperiousness of absolute monarchs who knew that there was no appeal from their ruthless decrees."

The 1st world war had ended in a great victory for the Wall Street financiers and they began to gather the fruits by extending their power to Britain and the Dominions, forcing us to surrender our command of the seas, to break our alliance with Japan and to return to the gold standard. Then their associated concerns, such as General Electric, began to acquire our capital assets. They penetrated into every part of Europe and Africa. In China they were supreme in the financial consortium formed to exploit that country. They were active in India and conquered all South America, except the Argentine. In the United States, they went from strength to strength by using the mechanism of the Federal Reserve Board for purposes diametrically opposed to that for which it had supposedly been formed; that is, instead of forwarding supplies of credit when necessary to avoid a panic, they used the opportunity again and again to cut off credit supplies altogether.

By such means, in the early twenties, they encouraged the farmers under boom conditions to borrow and expand their enterprises, and then promptly called in the loans, delivering thousands into bankruptcy.

The same technique caused the panic of 1929, which led to the great crash of 1931. Orthodox economists attribute this later disaster to the failure of the Creditanstalt in Vienna, arguing that

it set in motion the whole succession of breakdowns which followed throughout the world. That, however, is a very incomplete picture of the actual situation.

Mr. Louis T. McFadden, Chairman of the U.S. House of Representatives Banking and Currency Committee, referring to the New York Stock Exchange collapse which began the American end of the slump, declared: "It was not accidental. It was a carefully contrived occurrence the international bankers sought to bring about a condition of despair here so that they might emerge as the rulers of us all." Nothing could be less equivocal than that. What cannot be denied is, that in 1928 the Federal Reserve Board was feverishly expanding credit to create a boom and next year as feverishly restricting credit to create a slump. (See A. K. Chesterton's writings and those of C. H. Douglas, B. Jensen, etc., in whose works are an immense amount of information and penetrating observations on what has been happening.)

After World War I, during the Weimar regime in Germany, the " American " financiers, with their associates in Germany, had been conducting a colossal fraud at the expense of the American people. Enormous advances in return for more or less worthless German script poured into Germany, and through Germany into Russia. Steps were taken to re-arm Germany and Russia, while the British were weakened in every possible way, by calling in loans, credit squeeze, returning to the gold standard and disarmament. Central Banks were set up all over South America and in the British Dominions, following visits by Sir Otto Niemeyer, a friend of Professor Guggenheim, who was a Sir Ernest Cassel, Reader of Economics in the University of London.

In 1930 the keystone of the financial system was set in place. The Bank of International Settlements was founded. This was a world central bank to control the "national" central banks.

THE LEAGUE OF NATIONS

OSTENSIBLY, the League of Nations had been sponsored by President Wilson, of America, a high mason and nominee of Kuhn, Loeb & Co. It was, in fact, an entirely Jew conception for leading up to World Government.

A few days before the Paris Treaty was signed, Jacob Schiff, who had continuously urged a speedy ratification of the Covenant of the League wrote to a friend:

" Unless we all get together and co-ordinate requirements, both in materials, etc., and credits, in a large way with a view to properly controlling European requirements as well as the resources of our own country, we shall have become impotent and be no longer in a position to adapt our great resources to the need of Europe."

This contained the policy carried out ever since by International Finance. The League served the double purpose of training the International bureaucrats needed for the final implementation of the policy (the Cecils, Toynbees, Noel-Bakers, Zilliacuses, etc.) and served as a smoke-screen behind which suitable arrangements could be made for the continuation of the world war.

Mr. Henry Morgenthau, Sen. (ancestral city—Mannheim, Germany), was attached to the U.S. Peace Delegation "in a capacity suited to his versatile accomplishments " and the German-American-Jewish diplomat, Mr. Oscar Straus, " was among the unofficial delegates he was chiefly active in connection with the Covenant of the League of Nations which bears the impress in all its main provisions of his rich, political experience and his wise spirit of conciliation."—(Wolf: Essays in Jewish History.)

All important decisions were taken by the " Big Four." President Wilson's "alter ego" was Colonel Edward Mandell House and his secretary was the Jew Brandeis. Clemenceau's omniscient secretary was the Jew M. George Mandel-Rothschild, now known as Mandel ; Lloyd George's private secretary was the Jew, Sir Philip Sassoon, whose mother was Aline de Rothschild of Paris. The military adviser was a Jew called Kish and a Jew, Professor Mantoux, was head of the political department of the League and was also the interpreter and only outside person present at the

meetings of the "Big Four".

Lloyd George and others were hazy about geography but the Jew secretaries most certainly were not. These Jews met at 6 p.m. in the evenings and mapped out the decisions for the following day's conference of the " Big Four ". The results were disastrous for humanity, and designedly so.

A Jew, Lord Levy-Lawson-Burnham, owner of the Daily Telegraph, etc., was in charge of the Press Bureau of the League, and another Jew was President of the Council.

The Rothschilds controlled the price of gold in the City of London, and the first act of the League was to hold the Brussels Financial Conference which drew up the principles of financial recovery and stabilisation according to the tenets of capitalist statesmanship of those days by budgets balanced by retrenchment, return to the gold standard, etc. There was a Rothschild connected with the League of Nations Commission responsible for the financial stabilisation of Austria, an operation from which that unhappy country never recovered.

H I T L E R

THE world-wide crashes produced by the policy of the Federal Reserve Board group had strengthened the power of the big combines everywhere and greatly increased bank holdings of industrial stock while ruining small businesses and farmers in enormous numbers, but the financiers over-reached themselves. The slump went much further than it was meant to go and led to reactions. For one thing, Great Britain, in a desperate attempt to extricate herself, was forced off gold, in common with 34 other nations and obliged to insulate her economy with a sterling area and a system of Imperial Preference. Ever since, the financiers of Wall Street have been trying to smash the sterling area and destroy the policy of Imperial Preference. Then Hitler arose out of a Germany which had been devastated by the international financiers and practically stolen from the Germans by the Jews, and adopted a policy which challenged the entire concept of international lending by establishing as the basis of trade, the mutual exchange of goods, without recourse to the usurers.

There had been pioneers before him—Kemal Ataturk had carried through the reconstruction of Turkey without borrowing a penny from abroad and Mussolini had safeguarded Italian currency against foreign speculators and tried, as far as possible, to build up for his people a self-contained economy. Spain and Portugal have been trying to do the same.

The revolt of Hitler was on a par with that of Napoleon and the Jews' world-wide empire, built and maintained by debt, was in mortal danger of crashing to the ground.

The Jews had succeeded with their Communist Revolution in Russia and afterwards had attempted to seize power in other European countries, but without success. In most of the countries concerned, a few voices were raised, unavailingly, to try to expose the true nature of the evils, but in Germany was the only case where a leader, Hitler, and his group, the National Socialist Party arose who grasped the full significance of events and saw behind the mobs of native hooligans the organisation and driving power of world Jewry. So Germany was the first country in history to face up to the fact of the Jew plot against the rest of mankind.

Hitler put his thoughts in "Mein Kampf", which book the

Jews took great care to present to the British public in a false light by all the means of publicity, which they controlled, by quoting passages out of their context, publishing abridged editions, distorting meanings and by downright misrepresentation. Its two main themes were the realisation and exposure of the Jewish scheme for world Marxism and admiration of and longing for friendship with Great Britain.

Hitler described how he came over the years to grasp the existence of deliberate Jewish hostility and of the close relationship between Judaism and Marxism. Of how the Jewish people was always a State within a State. Of how their doctrine rejects the aristocratic principle in nature, denies the value of the individual among men, combats the importance of nationality and race, thereby depriving humanity of the whole meaning of existence.

He gives, in great detail, the outlines of the Jewish disruptive machine.

Here are a few extracts:

" By means of the Trades Unions which might have been the saving of the nation, the Jew actually destroys the nation's economics. "

" By creating a press which is on the intellectual level of the least educated, the political and labour organisation obtains force of compulsion enabling it to make the lowest strata of the nation ready for the most hazardous enterprises. "

"The Jewish press . . . tears down all which may be regarded as the prop of a nation's independence, civilisation and its economic autonomy. It roars especially against characters who refuse to bow the knee to Jewish domination, or whose intellectual capacity appears to the Jew in the light of a menace to himself. "

" The ignorance displayed by the mass . . . and the lack of instinctive perception of our upper class make the people easy dupes of this campaign of Jewish lies. "

"But the present day is working its own ruin; it introduces universal suffrage, chatters about equal rights, and can give no reason for so thinking. In its eyes, material rewards are the expression of a man's worth, thus shattering the basis for the noblest equality that could possibly exist."

" It is one of the tasks of our Movement to hold out prospects of a time when the individual will be given what he needs in order to live; but also to maintain the principle that man does not live for material enjoyment alone. "

"The political life of to-day alone has persistently turned its back on this principle of nature, (i.e. quality) ..."

"Human civilisation is but the outcome of the creative force of personality in the community as a whole, and especially among its leaders . . . the principle of the dignity of the majority is beginning to poison all life below it; and, in fact, to break it up. "

" We now see that Marxism is the enunciated form of the Jewish attempt to abolish the importance of personality in all departments of human life; and to set up the mass of numbers in its place ..."

"The principle of decision by majorities has not always governed the human race; on the contrary, it only appears during quite short periods of history, and those are always periods of decadence in nations and States. "

"We must not forget that the international Jew, who continues to dominate over Russia, does not regard Germany as an ally, but as a State destined to undergo a similar fate. "

At the end of Mein Kampf was the following: "The Party, as such, stands for positive Christianity, but does not bind itself in the matter of creed to any particular confession. It combats the Jewish materialistic spirit within us and without us. "

Looking around the world for help in the battle against the Jew menace, Hitler's mind constantly reverted to Britain and the British Empire. He always longed for their friendship and declared that no sacrifice would have been too great in order to obtain England's alliance. He always declared England to be one of the greatest bulwarks against chaos and that her interests and those of Germany were complementary and not contrary to one another. He stated that the Jew was organising his measures for the conquest of England as well as for the destruction of Germany. Hitler's eagerness for friendship with Britain continued through the years and even at Dunkirk he saved the British Army from destruction by halting the Panzer Corps, informing his surprised Generals the while that he regarded the British Empire and the Catholic Church as necessary bulwarks of peace and order, which must be safeguarded. More details about all this can be found in that most illuminating book, *The Nameless War*, by the late Capt. A. M. Ramsay, a former Conservative Member of Parliament and friend of Neville Chamberlain.

Mein Kampf was first published in October, 1933. Before it had left the printers, the floodgates of Jewish hatred and lies had been opened full against Hitler and the Third Reich all over the world. English-speaking people everywhere were deluged with fabrications, distortions and atrocity stories, drowning the voices of the few who understood the real situation. Forgotten amid it all was Marx's slogan that, before Communism could triumph, the British Empire must be destroyed; and entirely suppressed, as far as the British people were concerned, was Hitler's repeated

declaration of his willingness to defend the British Empire, if called upon to assist, by force of arms, if necessary.

In 1936, the Jews organised a revolution in Spain which, fortunately for Europe, was frustrated by General Franco and his supporters who instantly took the field in opposition to the revolutionary forces and eventually succeeded in crushing them. This was a remarkable achievement in view of the fact that the International Brigades were assembled in Spain in considerable numbers by October, 1936. They were recruited from 52 different countries, mysteriously transported and assembled in Spain within a few weeks of the outbreak of disorder, uniformed in a garb closely resembling our battle dress, and armed with weapons bearing the Jewish five-pointed star. This star and the Seal of Solomon were on the signet rings of N.C.O.s and Officers of this Communist horde of ill-disciplined ruffians.

The British public was kept in total ignorance of the true significance of what was happening, but Germany and Italy had each in turn been through the throes of Communist revolution and knew who had financed and organised the International Brigades; and with what evil purpose Barcelona had been declared, in October, 1936, the capital of the Soviet States of Western Europe. At the critical moment they intervened in just enough strength to counter the International Brigade and enable the Spanish people to organise their own army which, in due course, easily settled the matter, as far as Spain was concerned.

But International Jewry had been seriously thwarted and from henceforth would not rest until they could, by hook or by crook, turn the guns of the rest of the world against Germany and Italy, which States, in addition to thwarting their designs in Spain, were in the process of placing Europe upon a system independent of gold and usury, which, if permitted to develop, would break the Jewish power for ever.

What has been said about Hitler should in no wise be construed as showing any liking on the part of the writer for the Nazi Police State system or of the concentration camps, etc. We have been so filled with lying propaganda that it is difficult for one who has never been in Germany or able to talk with Germans, to know the truth. Hitler must have done a great deal of good in Germany, but that country had been rife with Jewry, Masonry and Illuminism for many generations and he would have been faced with a tremendous problem.

All the forces of evil would have been brought to bear on tripping him up and he would inevitably have had traitors around him, like Napoleon had men such as Tallyrand and Fouche working for his destruction.

He was engaged in such a desperate fight that desperate measures would probably have appeared to be necessary or have been forced on him by enemies in his system. It would be interesting to know why it was that when the Germans invaded Russia and were welcomed by the Russians as liberators and the latter surrendered wholesale, the Germans then embarked on the suicidal policy of cruelty which turned the Russian people from friends into enemies and made them rise, as Russians, to defend themselves from the invaders. Hitler was, in effect, if not in intention the tool of the Sanhedrin. (Douglas Reed seems to have thought his primary object was to kill Germans.) There appears to be no doubt that the persecution of the Jews, such as it was, was enormously exaggerated by the Jews for propaganda purposes. And there is no doubt that the troubles led to the deaths of countless millions of Gentiles and widespread chaos in Europe which is what the Sanhedrin was working for. The Sanhedrin is completely callous and would not hesitate to allow a number of Jews to be slaughtered for propaganda purposes if considered worth it.

T H E W A R

NEVILLE CHAMBERLAIN was the last British Prime Minister this country has had and he was also an honest man. He tried to prevent war but he failed, partly because he was not clear enough about all that was going on and partly because he was not strong enough to withstand the forces of evil up against him. When he met Hitler at Munich and they succeeded in coming to a peaceful arrangement, there was a howl of rage from the two chief Khazar cities, Moscow and New York, and all the available forces were brought to bear to force a war, with the result that many Gentile persons and groups were brought into the war-making moves under the influence or pressure exerted.

In this country, a vigorous and uncompromising clique was growing in all Parties under the leadership of Churchill and his friends. The Versailles "Peace" Conference had been so efficiently manipulated by the Jews that there were plenty of causes available over which to provoke a war. Czechoslovakia and Poland contained parts in which the population was overwhelmingly German and which had been taken from Germany in the dictated peace. As Lord Lothian said in his last speech at Chatham House: " If the principle of self-determination had been applied in Germany's favour as it had been applied against her, it would have meant the return of Sudetenland, Czechoslovakia, parts of Poland, the Polish Corridor and Danzig to the Reich. " Hitler's demands all rested on a foundation of reasonable fairness, contrary to Press reports to the British public and he did not keep breaking his word over further demands as the British public was deluded by its press into supposing.

In March, 1939, Mr. Chamberlain was tricked into giving Poland a British guarantee on the strength of a false report to the effect that a 48-hour ultimatum had been delivered by Germany to the Poles. This report subsequently turned out to be false but the guarantee had been given and the decision of war or peace was no longer in British hands and the Khazars now had the ball at their feet. Poland was encouraged to be intransigent. Incidentally, both Poland and Czechoslovakia were practically owned by the Jews.

A state of war began in September between Britain and Germany

but it soon became clear that no war was being conducted by Germany against this country, which was in accordance with Hitler's repeated declarations, and with the Siegfried Line strongly held and no German intention of appearing west of it, it was clear that the war between the two countries must peter out altogether in the absence of bombing of civilian populations. But the bombing of civilians would have been inconsistent with the pledges given at the beginning of the war.

The pro-Jewish warmongers and their friends inside and outside the House of Commons began pressing for bombing of Germany to be started. Chamberlain flatly refused. The Churchill-cum-Socialist caucus in the House eventually caused his downfall by saddling him with the blame for the Norway blunder, for which Churchill was really responsible. But instead of being broken, he became Prime Minister and on the evening of his first day as Prime Minister, he tore up our pledge about not bombing civilians by starting to bomb them in Germany and from then on did all he could to ensure that a full out and quite unnecessary war should follow between Britain and Germany.

Churchill became Prime Minister on May 11th, 1940. On May 23rd, 1940, many hundreds of British subjects were suddenly arrested and thrown into prison, under Regulation 18B, and kept in prison for years without charge or trial. A high proportion of them were ex-servicemen and there is no shadow of doubt that they were very patriotic Britons. They included Captain Ramsay, M.P., one of Churchill's more outspoken political critics, who was a strong supporter of Neville Chamberlain and who was working on providing the latter with information about the Jew plots in connection with provoking the war. There is no doubt that the persons detained under the Regulation were ones who were alive to the Jewish plot or, for some reason, unpopular with the Jews, as there is also no doubt that the Regulation was introduced earlier under Jewish influence, in connection with the activities of Communist members of the I.R.A., for use when the war came, against people the Jews would want to put out of the way. Also the Regulation was really administered by the Jews, notably Lord Rothschild.

Captain Ramsay was also in touch with Tyler Kent, a young code-clerk in the American Embassy, who had been to see him about some extraordinary code messages going to Roosevelt. The reader is referred to *The Nameless War* by Ramsay and to *From Admiral to Cabin Boy* by Admiral Sir Barry Domville for further information on 18B, but the following extracts from Jensen's *The Palestine Plot* are worth recording here:

" In October, 1939, Kent [Tyler Kent, young American code-clerk—EDITOR] was transferred to our Embassy in Grosvenor

Square, London, and placed in a position of the highest trust and confidence—the code room. Almost immediately he encountered the amazing secret messages which are the key to the whole affair. Kent had scarcely taken over his London duties when he was given a message to be sent at once. This message was in code . . . (it) was from a subject of His Majesty's British Government to the Chief Executive of the United States. This message was from Mr. Winston Churchill, the First Lord of the Admiralty in the Cabinet of Neville Chamberlain. The message by-passed, it went over the head of the legal chief of the British State . . . the message that Kent had been obliged to send that day read, in effect: ' I am half American and the natural person to work with you. It is evident we see eye to eye. Were I to become Prime Minister of Britain we could control the world.' " (From John Howland Snow: *The Case of Tyler Kent*, Chicago, 1946, p. 6.)

"From the outbreak of war the President has been under fire for permitting, if not encouraging, William C. Bullitt, American Ambassador to France, and other diplomats, to encourage France and Poland to get into war with promises of American support." (Arthur Sears Henning: *Washington Times Herald*, November 12th, 1941.)

"It has taken a war and a military disaster to produce governmental changes long overdue. From the Jewish point of view the changes may prove far-reaching . . . all the new Labour Cabinet Ministers have time and again supported the Zionist point of view Mr. Winston Churchill, the Prime Minister, voted against the Palestine White Paper of May, 1939, and even abstained from voting on the Land Regulations in March, although he was a member of the Government." (*The Jewish Standard*, May 17th, 1940.)

"One of my colleagues at Brixton Prison was maitre d'hotel at the Savoy, and he had an interesting tale of constant dinner parties in a private room at which Lord Southwood (ne Elias, of Odhams Press, etc.—Ed.), Lord Bearstead (ne Samuel of the Oil Trust, etc. —Ed.), Sir John Ellerman (Jewish associate of the Rothschilds, etc.—Ed.), Mr. Israel Moses Sieff (of Marks & Spencer Ltd., etc.— Ed.) and Mr. Churchill generally formed the company. Possibly a great deal of the inner history of these stirring times would have been gleaned by any eavesdropper at these convivial little parties of ' British ' leaders." (Admiral Sir Barry Domvile: *From Admiral to Cabin Boy*, London 1948, p. 39).

" As soon as Winston Churchill had gained the Prime Ministry in May of 1940, he ordered the seizure and imprisonment, without trial, of hundreds of his more outspoken political critics including Captain Ramsay, Member of Parliament. From all that can be learned, Captain Ramsay has never been officially charged with any

offence or tried in any way.....

" My son, Tyler Kent, was ordered tried. Here the more outrageous features of the case begin to appear. My son was entitled to trial by an American Court alone, by virtue of his Embassy position. By the laws of our country, he was entitled to an open trial. He got neither an American trial, which would have required sending him back to this country, nor an open trial, wherein his offence, if any, could be publicly weighed by the American people.

"Why this strange procedure?"

" Who was it who did not want the American people to know what Tyler Kent knew ? "

(Ann H. P. Kent: Appeal presented to the Democratic National Convention.)

For more information also see pages 18-20 World Dictatorship by 1955 ? It appears that Churchill had been enlisting Roosevelt's support in removing Mr. Chamberlain by means of secret code messages through the American Embassy in London and that Kent took these messages to Capt. Ramsay. Roosevelt immediately waived Kent's diplomatic immunity and he was tried in camera by a British Court under the Official Secrets Act, sentenced and imprisoned in Britain for seven years.

As an example of C. H. Douglas' clear-headedness, it is worth quoting the following extract from his *Whose Service is Perfect Freedom*, published in *The Social Creditor*, Sept. 23rd, 1939:

" It is therefore, I think, quite possible to state the real as distinct from the proximate objectives of the present war. " They are:

(1) The establishment of the International Police State on the Russian Model, beginning with Great Britain. 'Can we finally rid Europe of barriers of caste and creed and prejudice? our new civilisation must be built through a world at war. But our new civilisation will be built just the same.' (Mr. Anthony Ede 1 broadcasting to America, September 11th, 1939). This contemplates the complete abolition of civil rights.

(2) The Restoration of the Gold Standard and the Debt System.

(3) The elimination of Great Britain, in the cultural sense, and the substitution of Jewish-American ideals.

(4) The establishment of the Zionist State in Palestine as a geographical centre of World Control, with New York as the centre of World Financial Control."

WINSTON CHURCHILL

In the Autumn of 1954, one of Churchill's greatest friends, Bernard Baruch was making a birthday eulogy to Churchill and in the course of it he revealed that he and Churchill were in Paris at the Peace Conference after the first World War, and then addressing himself to "the greatest Englishman who ever lived", he said:

" One day we were walking through the Bois de Boulogne, talking of the problems which burdened a world exhausted by war and groping for peace. Suddenly you broke your brisk stride, paused, and lifting your walking stick, pointed to the East. Your voice rumbled ominously: ' Russia! Russia! That's where the weather is coming from! ' "

Now, to enlarge on that we will quote the following extract from a long article written by Churchill in the Illustrated Sunday Herald of February 8th, 1920, in which he described Communism as Jewish:

" It would almost seem as if the gospel of Christ and the gospel of anti-Christ were destined to originate among the same people ; and that this mystic and mysterious race had been chosen for the supreme manifestations, both of the divine and the diabolical From the days of Spartacus-Weishaupt to those of Karl Marx, and down to Trotsky (Russia), Bela Kun (Hungary), Rosa Luxembourg (Germany) and Emma Goldman (United States), this world-wide conspiracy for the overthrow of civilisation and for the reconstruction of society on the basis of arrested development, of envious malevolence and impossible equality, has been steadily growing. It has been the mainspring of every subversive movement during the 19th century ; and now, at last, this band of extraordinary personalities from the underworld of the great cities of Europe and America have gripped the Russian people by the hair of their heads and have become practically the undisputed masters of that enormous empire. There is no need to exaggerate the part played in the creation of Bolshevism and in actual bringing about of the Russian Revolution by these international and, for the most part, atheistical Jews. It is certainly a very great one ; it probably outweighs all others."

It is quite clear that Churchill knew where the menace to the

human race lay as long ago as thirty-five years. How is it, then, that twenty years later, when all the indications were that Hitler wanted to fight that menace, Churchill bent all his energies into getting him involved in a war with Britain and the West instead, and then when Hitler did strike East, Churchill immediately, and without in any way consulting the British peoples, flung the whole of our resources into battle against Hitler in order to preserve the deadly menace of Communism. Except, perhaps, for F. D. Roosevelt, he would appear to be the most irresponsible politician in history (such men cannot be described as statesmen). Churchill has been a life-long Zionist and bosom friend of the International Jew financiers so, if he possesses any sincerity at all, it is only to be supposed that he had not realised that both the Zionists and the Communists are under the control of the Sanhedrin, which should have been obvious to him.

Possibly it is significant that he appears to have kept quiet about the Jewishness of Communism and subversive activities since February 8th, 1920.

And it should be noted that his wholehearted support for, and appeasement of Russia was given, although Rudolf Hess had recently made his remarkably self-sacrificing and heroic bid to stop the war between Britain and Germany, knowing that Hitler did not want to fight or harm Britain. Churchill kept the self-appointed envoy a prisoner and gave the public no information. (See Prisoner of Peace.)

If Churchill had had any sense of responsibility he would have allowed Hitler to get on with destroying Communism and the Jew menace, while he (Churchill) concentrated on building up the strength of Britain and the Empire.

THE PLOT IN A M E R I C A

WE might now turn to America again for a moment. In 1931 the financiers had persuaded President Hoover to stand by with a moratorium to tide their friends in Germany over the worst of their difficulties resulting from the gigantic feast of international usury in which they had been indulging.

Mr. McFadden, speaking of the moratorium, said: " If the German international financiers of Wall Street had not had this job waiting to be done, Herbert Hoover would never have been elected President of the United States", and, in describing how the business had been done, he told Congress: " We have in this country one of the most corrupt institutions the world has ever known. I refer to the Federal Reserve Board and Federal Reserve Banks. This evil institution has impoverished and ruined the people of the United States They have been peddling the credit of this Government and the signature of this Government to the swindlers and speculators of all nations. This is what happens when a country forsakes its constitution and gives its sovereignty over public currency to private interests. Give them the flag and they will sell it."

In 1933, Bernard Baruch & Co., achieved a master stroke by getting their stooge, Franklin D. Roosevelt installed as President and such was the immense power derived from their control of money and propaganda that they were able to keep him in that position until his death in 1945, in spite of the fact that he spent practically the whole time taking actions diametrically opposed to his words, and actions whose sole effect was to further subject the American people to the Sanhedrin, in the form of Bernard Baruch & Co., and Socialism or Communism.

In 1932, the "economic blizzard" in the U.S. had approached its height and President Hoover was completely discredited, most of the smaller industrial firms were wrecked and attacks on the banking system, both as a system and as a credit monopoly were increasing to a great volume. There were over 12 million unemployed. Before assuming office, Roosevelt deliberately ignored Hoover's appeals to him for support in measures to stop the rot, measures which he could not very well take if he did not know that Roosevelt would continue them. The result is that when

Roosevelt took over there was a condition of nation-wide panic and, in many towns, not a single bank was open for business and all over the country money substitutes of the token class were in daily use. Probably 60 per cent of the banks were insolvent. During the depression, hundreds of thousands of American farmers had been ruined.

Roosevelt's first action was to close every bank, a financial step, not an industrial one, it is significant to note. Under the American Banking Laws, the English system of Branch Banking was forbidden and most American Banks, outside New York, were in real and active competition with each other, both for customers' accounts and for re-discount facilities. The prohibition of Branch Banking had been a great safeguard against the mammoth Wall Street Banks, but its fatal weakness was the need to borrow in order to lend. The freezing of re-discount loans by the Reserve Banks, ultimately controlled by the Federal Reserve Board, had put the country banks in the position of being helpless against a "run", which took place in nearly every case. Hundreds of small banks, and some large, but none of the largest, banks had closed, never to reopen. So the largest banks were relieved of a good deal of competition. Obviously in accordance with a carefully prepared programme, selected banks were reopened, and the Federal Reserve Banks, which had kept quiet until then poured out credits for them on dictated terms which removed any danger of revolt.

The financiers had obtained, by financial methods, virtual control of the economic life of the country and they now set about consolidating their position by acting more and more directly through Government officials in charge of the various Public Bodies or Governmental Monopolies set up by the administration. Large contracts for public works were placed with contractors and State Employed agencies, whose barely concealed object was the lavish spending of money, rose and expanded. At the same time, "controls", the groundwork of the Planned Monopolistic State, were imposed on each main industry.

One effect was to create vested interests and a large number of State employees to vote for a continuance of the administration. The Roosevelt administration became riddled with Jews and Communists or fellow-travellers.

Speaking in Congress on June 8th, 1934, Mr. McFadden said: "The people of the United States have been propagandised into a belief that the N.R.A. is a product of the political genius of Franklin Delano Roosevelt. There is no greater popular fallacy It required 15 years of hard work on the part of Mr. Baruch and his associates to foist this act on the American people, and it was only through their sufferings over a period of great stress that he was able to do it It might be added that practically every

year since the war, Baruch has been going to the Army War College and giving our future generals lectures along these lines."

It is of interest that, when General Hugh Johnson was appointed head of the N.R.A., he was still on Baruch's Wall-Street pay-roll. It is also noteworthy that Eisenhower has been Baruch's protege ever since he went to Baruch for advice when a Major. That is why he was made Supreme Commander for the invasion of Europe and also why he has been made President. Every one of Roosevelt's ' state-controlling ' policies helped to strengthen existing Combines and Trusts. By 1939 the immense inefficiency of the New Socialist Deal had almost resulted in the ruin of America's economic life, but the war in Europe gave the administration a new lease of life.

(For much further information about Roosevelt and his administrations see The Roosevelt Myth, by John T. Flynn, B. Jensen's hooks, The Brief for the Prosecution, by Douglas, and The Nameless War, by Ramsay).

In brief, Roosevelt now concentrated on spending money on armaments and worked to get America involved in the war, which he had had a hand in provoking anyway. He tricked the Japanese into attacking America and so tricked the American people into supporting the war. Throughout, he conducted the war from the angle of doing all he could to support Communist requirements and to destroy the British Empire, making full use of the strength of the American people for these purposes. Also, of course, he did everything from the point of view of his own advantage, regardless of what it might cost the American or any other peoples. To attain his ends, he would double-cross Churchill. He was acting under the influence of his Jewish counsellors all the time, which accounts for allowing Russia to obtain control of Eastern Europe and so much of Germany, and the acceptance of the Morgenthau Plan to destroy German industry. The idea of " Unconditional Surrender " was to ensure that the Germans would resist to the end so that such chaos and destruction would result in Europe that it would become a wonderful breeding ground for Communism and that Germany would be destroyed in the process. The advance of the British and American Armies was delayed deliberately in order to ensure that the Russians would get control of so much of Europe and wreck it.

Since Roosevelt's death, all succeeding American Governments have remained firmly in the grip of Bernard Baruch & Co. The Japanese War was prolonged so as to bring Russia in at the last moment and hand a lot of the Far East over to her and in order to be able to test the atomic bombs on Japan. The American Government deliberately handed China over to the Communists and

stopped McArthur and Van Fleet from turning the Communists out of Korea and has been a main agent in ousting the British, French and Dutch from all possessions and concessions in Asia. It will be noticed that New York finance or the Communists always move in. The same policy is being pursued in Africa.

Aggression, supported by the United States Government and the U.N.O., created a State of Israel after liquidating the Arab inhabitants of the land.

Everything that is happening recently in Cyprus, Suez, Sudan, Kenya, etc., is having the effect of getting the British out of the way of a Communist advance through Africa. Possibly the hostility between Egypt and Israel may be taken with a pinch of salt. The Jews seem to remain prominent in law, finance and business in Egypt through it all. Arms are being placed in the hands of the Egyptians and Communists are moving in. The arms could be used for a drive with instead of against Israel. Israel and Russia seem to be at loggerheads just now, but how real is it? It is true that there is, or has been rivalry between the Zionist and the Communist Jews for the allegiance of world Jewry but it is the opinion of the writer that both are effectively under the control of the Sanhedrin. Some Jews are purged in Russia, but they are purged by Jews and for personal reasons, not because they are Jews. There are bound to be quarrels between individual Jews in struggles for power and disagreements between groups as to the best means of attaining the aim at different times. But they are all working to the same end of world domination by Jewry. The Jews are remarkably cunning at throwing dust into Gentile eyes.

THE UNITED NATIONS

Like the League of Nations, the United Nations has been created by the Jews as a step to World Government as are all the other supranational organisations such as N.A.T.O., European Union, S.E.A.T.O., etc. One of the main arguments being used to frighten the Western peoples into giving up sovereignty to supranational organisations is the threat of the horrors of atomic warfare, which are painted in lurid terms by fellow-travelling " scientists " like Bertrand Russell and broadcast with the full blast of all the publicity under Jew control. The writer has heard of no such propoganda being broadcast to the people under Communist tyranny.

The colours of the United Nations flag are pale blue and white, the same as those of the new State of Israel. All, or nearly all the key positions in the U.N.O. are held by Jews or fellow-travellers and they always have been. The U.N.O. Charter was drawn up by the Jew, Leo Pasvolksy, special assistant Secretary of State, U.S.A., and the Secretary-General of the San Francisco Conference which brought this Jew-planned "U.N.O." into being, was Alger Hiss. Although the latter was a Gentile he owed his political career entirely to the influence of the Red New Deal Jew, Justice Felix Frankfurter, and he has been proved to be a spy for Soviet Russia. Incidentally, Fuchs and Pontecorvo were Jews.

U.N.O. only seems to function in any unanimous sense when a Jewish interest is at stake. For example, both America and Russia voted for admitting Israel to U.N.O, although she was well to the bottom of the waiting list and had just been brought into existence by one of the most glaring examples of treacherous aggression in history. Both America and Russia had armed and abetted Israel against the Arabs. Also, when U.N.O. decided, by bribing and bullying the small nations for their votes, on partitioning Palestine, it included the rich oil-bearing Negeb area in the Jewish part. But Count Bernadotte's proposals, submitted to U.N.O. reversed this decision. He was murdered and the Jews forced their way into the Negeb. This outrage led to U.S.A., Britain and China putting forward a resolution at U.N.O. for sanctions against the Jews if they did not withdraw from the area. But the resolution was soon squashed. The Jew, Morgenthau, ex-Secretary of the

U.S.A. Treasury, cabled President Truman about it and Truman ordered the U.S.A. representative at U.N.O. to stop voting on the resolution. The resolution was dropped by the three powers and the Jews kept the Negeb and its oil.

Atomic Energy in the U.S.A. is run by Jews: Bernard Baruch, L. L. Strauss, J. R. Oppenheimer, etc. Eisenhower, Baruch's stooge puts out ideas about Atomic World Banks. Obviously the Jews wish to obtain full control of the world's supplies of atomic energy because this, combined with their control of finance, which might get shaky, would greatly strengthen their grip on national governments throughout the world.

General Sir Frederick Morgan, head of the European U.N.R.R.A. Mission discovered and stated that the organisation was being used:

- (1) to feed and clothe the mass exodus of Jews from Russia and Communist Eastern Europe to Israel, and later
- (2) that the Communists were using U.N.R.R.A. for espionage purposes.

For (1) he was made to cross the Atlantic and apologise to the U.N.R.R.A. Director-General, the Jew, Herbert Lehman, Wall Street Banker. For (2) he was curtly dismissed by the half-Jew fellow-traveller, La Guardia, and replaced by the Jew, Meyer Cohen. Morgan was then placed on the retired list by the ' British ' Government and was later proved to be right on both statements. Statistics have revealed that U.N.R.R.A. operated mainly behind the Iron Curtain although financed solely by America and Britain.

POST W A R B R I T A I N

DURING the last half-century, Britain has produced a number of outstanding people in various fields, e.g., Richard St. Barbe Baker, A. K. Chesterton, C. H. Douglas, Sir Albert Howard, Arnold Leese, J. C. Thomson, Prof. L. A. Waddell, Nesta Webster and C. H. Welch. Unfortunately, they tend to remain more or less unheard of because they stand for the truth in their various fields. On the other hand, our Judaeo-Masonic, Financial, "Democratic" system, imposed on us during the last 300 years, has the inevitable tendency to bring only the froth to prominence in Church and State. For example, we have leading members of the Church of England, a supposedly Christian organisation, who are also Freemasons, i.e., members of what is, in fact, an anti-Christian secret or semi-secret society. They hobnob with Jews in a so-called "Council of Christians and Jews", apparently quite oblivious of the fact that the Jews have frequently announced, during the last nineteen hundred years, that their primary aim is to destroy the Christian faith. They also support other Jew-sponsored suicidal policies such as miscegenation, designed to produce a "race of mongrels, devoid of the best qualities of all races and one which will prove subservient to a world government, composed of Jews, who will themselves be careful to avoid such miscegenation. Could muddle-headedness go further? It is not surprising that the Church of England makes so little appeal to the British people, containing as it does ministers ranging over all stages of belief from Christian to Communism and Atheism.

Since the war, Wall Street has tied up Britain and the British Empire very thoroughly by means of the Bretton Woods, the American Loan Agreement and G.A.T.T. They are designed to prevent the members of the British Empire from combining in any way to help each other by Imperial Preference. For example, G.A.T.T., compels us to submit to the dictation of a bunch of foreigners as to how we should trade within the Empire. It compels the Dominions to treat one another as foreign countries. It prevents Britain from helping the West Indian parts of the Empire to compete with Cuba by giving enough preferential treatment in the home market. This leads to unemployment in Jamaica, etc., and this again to an influx of coloured people into Britain in search of employment. There are reasons to believe that many of these

people are persuaded and financed to come here by representatives of Wall Street. The Jews have taken care to see that our laws are framed so that, as they stand, we cannot restrict or stop the influx. Our feather-weight politicians do nothing about it.

The hordes of Jews, Negroes, Indians, Pakistanis, Cypriots and other non-Nordic aliens flooding into this country are being deliberately introduced by Sanhedrin policy in order to pollute our race and provide alien and degenerate urban mobs which can be used for revolutionary purposes under the "democratic", i.e., Jewish or Communist system.

Appalling things are happening in our largest cities where there are these large coloured invasions but these facts can only be learnt by reading such clear-sighted British periodicals as Candour and Free Britain because our press and "B".B.C. keep the public in complete ignorance or very ill-informed. The writer would like to know why our press behaves like it does. Is it because of fear of the crack of the Jew-wielded financial whip or because of blindness and ignorance ?

Our "British" Governments of the last decade have cravenly submitted to all these Jewish moves for destroying us. They now appear to be proposing to import Maltese M.P.s to help the process of centralisation and mongrelisation.

It is quite obvious that all these American loans, Marshall Aids, etc., about the world are made with the express purpose of keeping nations from standing on their own feet and of keeping them in pawn to Wall Street.

The "Conservative" administration in Britain is now headed by Eden, Macmillan and Butler.

Eden has been a protege throughout of financial Jewry, a friend of the Sassoons and Sieffs and an appeaser of Soviet Russia. He has the remarkable qualities of many modern politicians in this country which enable him to describe wholesale scuttles from Persia, Suez Canal, Sudan, etc., as British victories or Conservative triumphs. A man who can invite Bulganin and Kruschev, two of the most appalling thugs and murderers in history, to pay a visit to this country, which involved their being received by our young Queen, must have lost all sense of Britain's past greatness and traditions.

Macmillan is intimately connected with P.E.P. and a friend of Sieff. He has come but as an advocate of World Government, although to do so is in direct contravention of the Parliamentary oath of allegiance to the Queen.

Butler is either completely ignorant of the basic facts about money, the banking system and international finance, or he is

deliberately concealing any knowledge he may possess while pursuing a course which can only subjugate us entirely to Wall Street. All he seems to be able to think of, like his colleagues, is hanging round Wall Street, cap in hand, instead of taking steps to enable this country and Empire to develop their own resources and take the lead in the world, which we could do, without doubt, if we could only wake up and do something sensible about it. Butler, though, seems to be happy to stay in G.A.T.T. and to help break up the Empire by doing such things as telling the Australians, at the 1952 meeting of the Dominion Premiers that, as their country was the only large source of non-dollar wheat, it was their duty to grow more wheat. The Australians responded to this appeal to duty and then Mr. Butler remained a leading member of a Government which, after making the appeal, used hard currency to buy wheat, subsidised at ten shillings a bushel, from the Argentine while leaving Australian storehouses full of wheat for which there was no market.

A HINT FROM THE BIBLE

IN order to understand the world situation it is absolutely essential to refer to the Bible and it must be realised that the Bible, as written in the original Hebrew and Greek, is without any doubt at all, the Word of God. In these days of "education" this may appear to be an amazing statement to the vast majority of people. One of the main reasons for this, of course, is that great care is taken to see that people do not get educated and that they are only turned into technological specialists with no general knowledge of fundamental subjects which would enable them to form sound opinions about anything of vital importance.

The best way to get a sound idea of the Bible is to get a copy of the Companion Bible and to study it with the aid of C. H. Welch's Dispensational Truth as a beginning. It then becomes clear that the core of our earthly history is Satan's attempt to destroy God's purpose in Christ and that this amounts, in general, to substituting everywhere he can, the Lie for the Truth. As far as the present creation is concerned, this process started in the Garden of Eden and has continued ever since. Satan has corrupted the Jews and their proselytes into being his main earthly instrument as shown throughout this booklet.

In view of modern trends to world government, a very interesting study is that of Nimrod. It was God's intention to scatter the seventy nations about the earth and Satan tried to oppose this purpose by getting Nimrod (Hebrew marad—to rebel) the son of Cush (or Chaos) to build Babul. Nimrod's people said, Gen. XI, 4: "Go to, let us build a city, and a tower, whose top with the heavens (i.e., the signs of the Zodiac as in the ancient temples of Denderah and Esneh in Egypt) and let us make us a name (the word 'name' is 'Shem'—let us usurp the destined blessings of Shem), lest we be scattered abroad upon the face of the whole earth."

But before they had completed the building the Lord confounded their language and scattered them abroad upon the face of the whole earth.

In Nimrod, Satan produced a type of anti-Christ and the idea behind the building of Babel was to unify the human race, as opposed to having separate nations, so it was an attempt to set up a world government under which men would worship false religion,

just as he is now trying to bring about a world government under which mankind will be persuaded, in due course, by deception or force, into worshipping antichrist.

The expression " whose top with the heavens " is of the utmost importance. The words "may reach" were added to the A.V. with the idea of making sense. From earliest times we read of "star-gazers", "astrologers" and "monthly prognosticators." These men were those who had learned something of the secrets that lay behind the confused and twisted legends of Paganism. Jehovah refers to the "twelve signs" (Job XXXIII 31, 32, R.V. margin). Psalm XIX, shows us that God has two books of revelation. The one was the book, written in the heavens. The message of this book became corrupted, and the beginning of its corruption is traced to Babel. The other book is written Scripture, and again Satan has done all that he can to destroy, corrupt and distort its message. Josephus tells us that the astronomy of the " twelve signs" was invented by Adam, Seth and Enoch. The Babylonian "creation tablets" refer to them, so also does Greek mythology. For over 2,500 years the world was without any written scripture, but the hope of Gen. III, 15, and its promise of triumph over the serpent was kept alive in the minds of men by the " book of the heavens ".

But the "confusion of tongues" enabled Satan to spread his false teaching with ease and Paganism sprang into being at his direct institution. Nimrod had a wife named Semiramis and Nimrod and his wife were later worshipped under the title of " the mother and child ". Their names varied according to the countries whither the " scattered " nations with their " confounded " tongues went. In Egypt we have Isis and Osiris. Osiris was the son of Isis, yet he has the strange title "the husband of the mother" It is a case of fact (Nimrod and his wife) and fiction (that these were " the mother and child " of prophecy) being blended together. In India we have Isi and Iswara. Iswara is both the husband and infant. In Asia there is both Cybele and Derius. In Rome, Fortuna and the boy Jupiter. In Greece, Ceres and babe. In China we have Sing Moo, the mother with her child, having a " glory " in the orthodox Babylonian and Roman Catholic style. The strange ideas involved in such mixtures as "the husband of the mother", naturally led to the most obscene rites, and Babylon became the mother of all the harlot abominations of the earth. Here we have the origin and purpose of idolatry, a carefully laid plan to turn the hopes of the people away from the promised Seed to Satan and his agents ; to mutilate and confuse the witness of the heavenly signs and so prevent men from seeing in the serpent their vindictive but doomed enemy. And idolatry includes all "religion", other than true worship of God, through Christ, the only way to God.

A study of history, archaeology, ethnology, etc., shows that the

cradle of civilisation was in the Middle Eastern area of Mesopotamia and the people concerned, the Sumerians, were Nordic. (See The Five Races of Europe.)

These Nordics were the natural aristocrats among the human races. They emigrated and formed civilisations and the ruling races in such widely dispersed places as Mexico, China, India and Egypt.

In the course of time the Nordic people have been greatly reduced by wars and the natural tendency not to breed so rapidly as the other races, with their lower standards of living, and have gradually been edged further and further west and north until they are now practically confined to the N.W. of Europe, the British Empire and the United States.

A major factor in this movement seems to have been the break up of agriculture in each civilisation in turn, due partly to the introduction of a usurious money system which broke the farmers and partly to their being killed off in wars. All this led to the abandonment of the proper and natural methods of husbandry, which, in turn, led to the erosion of the soil and the formation of deserts.

It is clear that the Jews have had a lot to do with all this. As Jensen points out, one of their main objects has always been to convert the maximum possible number of free "men of the land" into financially and bureaucratically enslaved "men-in-the-streets" at the same time keeping the two kinds at loggerheads by their method of unjust, i.e., unscientific price-fixing.

This urbanisation or industrialisation of the world is a policy pursued by the world planners everywhere. It is amongst the industrial proletariat of large cities, cut off from the health giving wind, rain and sun of the countryside that our "productive socialist planners" find the best soil "for sowing their intellectual seed".

The Jewish people are unique in that they congregate almost exclusively in large towns. Most, if not all, disturbances are town phenomena and are everywhere dominated by members of the Jewish race.

Their actions and many Jewish quotations show that the Jews are infected with a great hatred for Christ and for Christians. They regard Gentiles as cattle and they have polluted everything they have touched.

They are really a secret society and one which is an enemy of the rest of the human race, and apparently of the Nordic peoples especially.

A study of history for the last nineteen hundred years also

shows that, for all practical purposes the Christian faith has been accepted mainly by the Nordic peoples. Read Gen. IX 26, 27— "And he said, ' Blessed be the Lord God of Shem ; and Canaan shall be his servant. God shall enlarge Japheth and He shall dwell in the tents of Shem ; and Canaan shall be his servant.'."

It appears that He in italics should have a capital H, referring to God, not to Japheth. Otherwise, if it should refer to Japheth and dwell should be understood as worship, as suggested in the Companion Bible, it would still imply the same thing. This suggests that the Nordic peoples must be descendants of Shem.

F A M O U S M E N O N T H E J E W S

THE following quotations on the Jews are very much to the point:

Cicero 106-43 B.C: " They are a nation of, rascals and deceivers."

Seneca 4 B.C.-65 A.D: " These pestilential people have known how to acquire such influence that they, the vanquished, dictate laws to us, the victors. "

St. Justin 116 A.D: " The Jews were behind all the persecutions of the Christians. They wandered through the country everywhere hating and undermining the Christian faith."

Mohammed 571-632: " Their aim will be to abet disorder on the earth. It is incomprehensible to me, why one has not long ago expelled these death-breathing beasts are these Jews anything else but devourers of men? "

St. Thomas Aquinas 1227-1274: " The Jews should not be allowed to keep what they have obtained from others by usury ; it were best that they were compelled to work so that they could earn their living, instead of doing nothing but become more avaricious."

Abbot Trithem of Wurzburg 1462-1516: " I approve of lawful methods of preventing the exploitation of the people by Jewish usury Shall these people dare to fatten themselves without punishment on the sweat of the peasant and the craftsman ?

Martin Luther 1483: " How the Jews love the book of Esther, which is so suitable to their bloodthirsty, revengeful, murderous appetite and hope. The sun has never shone on such a bloodthirsty and vindictive people, who cherish the idea of murdering and strangling the heathen. No other men under the sun are more greedy than they have been, and always will be, as one can see from their accursed usury. They console themselves that, when their Messiah comes, he will collect all the gold and silver in the world and divide it among them."

Erasmus 1487: " What robbery and oppression of these is by the Jews, so that they cannot suffer any longer. God have mercy on them! The Jewish usurers are fast-rooted even in the smallest

villages, and if they lend five gulden they require a security of six times as much. They charge interest upon interest, and upon this again interest, so that the poor man loses everything that he owns."

Pope Clement VIII 1592: " All the world suffers from the usury of Jews, their monopolies and deceit. They have brought many unfortunate peoples into a state of poverty, especially farmers, working-class people and the very poor."

Voltaire 1694: " The Jews are nothing but an ignorant barbaric people, which have, for a long time, combined the most loathsome avarice with the most abominable superstition and inextinguishable hatred of all people by whom they are tolerated, and through whom they are enriched."

Napoleon: " I decided to improve the Jews: but do not want any more of them in my kingdom: indeed, I have done all to prove my scorn of the vilest nation in the world."

Benjamin Franklin 1789: in a statement in the Convention concerning Jewish Immigration:

" There is a great danger for the United States of America, this great danger is the Jew. Gentlemen, in every land in which the Jews have settled, they have depressed the normal level and lowered the degree of commercial honesty. They have remained apart and unassimilated—they have created a state within a state, and when they are opposed they attempt to strangle the nation financially as in the case of Spain and Portugal. For more than 1,700 years, they have lamented their sorrowful fate—namely, that they were driven out of their motherland, but, gentlemen, if the civilised world to-day should give them back Palestine and their property, they would immediately find pressing reasons for not returning there. Why? Because they are vampires—they cannot live among themselves ; they must live among Christians and others who do not belong to their race. If they are not excluded from the United States by the Constitution, within less than 100 years, they will stream into this country in such numbers they will rule and destroy us and change our form of Government for which we Americans shed our blood and sacrificed life, property and personal freedom. If the Jews are not excluded, within 200 years our children will be working in the fields to feed the Jews while they remain in the Counting House gleefully rubbing their hands. I warn you, Gentlemen, if you do not exclude the Jews for ever, your children's children will curse you in your graves. Their ideas are not those of Americans, even when they have lived among us for ten generations. The leopard cannot change its spots. The Jews are a danger to this land and if they are allowed to enter

they will imperil our institutions—they should be excluded by the Constitution."

Von Moltke 1832: " It is seldom that the Police investigate in which the Jew is not found to be an accomplice or a receiver."

General Grant 1861: " The Jews are a class of people violating every regulation of Trade established by the Treasury Department and also Department Orders."

Paul Kruger 1900: " If it were possible to eject the Jew Monopolists from this country, without incurring war with Great Britain, then the problem of everlasting peace would be settled in South Africa."

Now let us take some evidence from the Jews themselves:

Bernard Lazare, the Jewish author, in his book *L'Antisemitisme*, said: " This race has been an object of hatred to all the peoples among who it has established itself Why was the Jew, in turn, equally maltreated and hated by the Alexandrians and the Romans, by the Persians and the Arabs, by the Turks and by the Christian nations?"

Kadmi Cohen, in his book *Nomades*, said: " To live for 2,000 years in a state of permanent rebellion against all the surrounding populations, to insult their customs, their language, and their religions, is a monstrosity when it is so easy to avoid contempt, hatred and the opprobrium of twenty centuries, it is . . . madness."

Oscar Levy in a long letter to Capt. G. Pitt Rivers wrote: " Your anti-Semitism is only too well grounded We have erred, my friend, we have most grievously erred. We who have promised to lead you to a new Heaven, have finally succeeded in landing you in a new Hell I look at this world and I shudder at its ghastliness We are the world's seducers, destroyers, incendiaries and executioners."

Israel Zangwill, the author, wrote of the Jews: "Pious, fanatical zealots, throttled by Talmud coil. Impious, lecherous sceptics, cynical stalkers of spoil, Wedded 'neath Hebrew awning, buried 'neath Hebrew sod. Between not a dream of duty, never a glimpse of God. Blarneying, shivering, crawling, taking all colours and none, Lying a fox in the covert, leaping an ape in the sun."

Theodore Herzl, leader of the Zionists in 1897 in his book *A Jewish State*, said : " When we sink, we become a revolutionary proletariat, when we rise, there rises also our terrible power of the purse."

OTHER MEANS OF ASSAULT BY THE JEWS

THE attack by the Jews is made in every conceivable way. Besides the power of finance and wars being used to destroy the aristocracy, the farmers and agriculture and to give the Jews control of the financial and industrial systems ; financial control of all the means of publicity and advancement has enabled the Jews to distort or delete truth in education and insert lies. For example, the evolution theory is normally referred to as though it was a proved fact, whereas, in fact, there is a remarkable dearth of any real evidence in support of it. On the other hand, there is unlimited evidence in favour of the idea of special creation, as told in Genesis. (See *Is Evolution Proved?*) Men with any scientific attainments who support the evolution theory do so not because they can produce the necessary evidence in support of it but because they are atheists, or something of the sort, and have a fanatical objection to the theory of special creation. They therefore jump at the evolution theory because it offers a plausible explanation with which to try to dodge the fact of special creation. A whole string of leading scientists have stated that the evolution theory is nonsense. (Also see Protocol No. 2 of the Elders of Zion.)

In the same way the false theories of Louis Pasteur have been blazoned forth to the world as truth and used to mislead the medical profession in inflicting much harm on the human race and the Nordic peoples in particular, by injecting harmful filth into them. (See Protocol No. 10.) At the same time, the truly scientific findings of the very great French chemist, Bechamp, which prove the falsity of Pasteur's theories, are kept quiet and Bechamp is not even mentioned in the 14th Edition of the *Encyclo-paedia Britannica*, such is the power of the Jew.

The same thing applies to the land. A little fragmentary knowledge of chemistry backed by finance is being used to destroy the natural fertility of the land ; which is being soured and the natural life in the soil destroyed by mixing great quantities of chemical fertilisers with the soil instead of using the natural organic methods of husbandry which have always proved to be correct through the ages. Financial measures are used to encourage or compel farmers to use these fertilisers. In the same way, subsidies

are paid to encourage the use of refined and adulterated flours but the natural, wholesome wholemeal flours do not get the subsidy and are therefore, absurdly, much more expensive. Consequently, only a few "cranks" go to the trouble and expense of obtaining the whole natural flour.

By this process of drugging the land and our bodies with inorganic substances, we are becoming more and more the prey of all sorts of disease and, with the mental doping we are subjected to in all sorts of ways by press, radio, false teaching, false religions (such as spiritism, theosophy, Order of the Cross, etc.) we are getting an enormous overcrowding of hospitals with neurotics and mental cases. This, of course, means that the general level of the spiritual, mental and physical health of the population is rapidly degenerating. That is why it has now become customary for us to accept with complete apathy or docility, things which would have had our people in instant and furious uproar any time until recent decades. The vast majority of people seem to have lost the habit and, with the habit, also, to a great extent, the ability to do any individual thinking. The Jews, in their efforts to destroy all true faith and knowledge have been remarkably successful and seem to have succeeded in Great Britain, in producing, in most people, a sense of frustration and of the selfish tendency only to think of rights and what they are going to get out of life, and to forget all about duties and about what they can put into life.

THE R E M E D Y

BUT, in spite of the rotten state to which the politicians, the national press and many of the people appear to have sunk, it is the writer's opinion that there is still a spark of the old sturdiness of British character left in the country which is capable of being fanned into a blaze again, if the people can be given honest leadership. But if this is not done very soon, we will be sunk beyond hope of recovery. World Government is what Satan and his instruments, the Jews, are working for. It would be a Police World. It is the Beast of Revelation. It is absolutely essential that we regain and retain our national British sovereignty-We must build up our strength on decentralised lines in alliance with the Dominions and Empire and any other nations with common interests and outlook that may be available.

Remember that, for about 1,800 years before the Christian era, Britain was the centre of the Druid religion and of the great learning and civilisation that went with it and was responsible for the development of the Common Law. The British were the first people to accept the Christian faith and, for the next 1,800 years, were the people mainly responsible for keeping it a live faith and for spreading it about the world. But about 300 years ago, the Jewish poison began to be injected and, during the last 100 years, this injection has been greatly accelerated to that we are now on the point of collapse. But the point to be noticed is that, if only we as a people can be brought to diagnose the poison correctly, before it is too late, there is no reason why we should not remove it from our system and revive as a great people. This would involve a return to our old faith, the Christian faith, and a return to our old ideas of individual sovereignty and responsibility. We need a return to the vision and decision of men like Edward I. We need to bring the Crown and the Lords back into an effective part in our Constitution, in order to have a balanced one, and to make the House of Commons effective again. The latter has become a House of Pawns, who dance to the tune of the Jew financiers acting through various mediums, e.g., Bank of "England", Masonry, T.U.C., Fabian Society and allied organisations, and the Party organisations. Meanwhile, the country is despotically misgoverned by the bureaucracy, as required and plotted for by the Jews.

The signs that we are coming to the end of this dispensation in God's dealing with us and approaching the times of the prophecy of "Revelation", are such things as the great turning away from the Christian faith to false religions and to atheistic ideologies like Socialism, the enormous acceleration in technological advance combined with a great reduction in education and wisdom or common sense, the spate of world wars and the moves for centralisation and world government combined with the virtual enslaving of mankind under one sort of organisation or another. It is significant that the special teaching for this Gentile dispensation committed to St. Paul in the "Prison" Epistles seems to have been lost very soon after he gave it and only to have come to light during the last century as a result of the tremendous and devoted research work of men like Dr. E. W. Bullinger and C. H. Welch. Another pointer is that (as the writer has been told) there is now a small sect of Messianic Jews in Palestine, i.e., Jews who believe that Christ was the Messiah and are waiting for his return. They will have nothing to do with Gentile Christians. They are a re-birth of the believing Jews of Pentecost and the Acts period, when Israel was still "My people", the Gentile dispensation not having then begun. It is interesting that the great success that the Jews have met with during the last hundred years in spreading the lie in connection with all vital subjects has been matched by the great advance in the knowledge of the same subjects which has been attained by a few men who have worked with great integrity and selflessness. For example, Bullinger and Welch in Bible Scholarship, C. H. Douglas and others in finance and economics. Sir Albert Howard and others in organic husbandry, J. C. Thomson and others in human health. The result is that the truth is available to guide us as soon as we are willing to turn to it.

For nearly four thousand years the British have been a learned and civilised people. They have been great fighters but, at the same time, a humane people. On a number of occasions they have kept the world from going under to some tyranny or other. But recently we have been so weakened and blinded by the Jewish plots and lies that we seem to have reached the stage where about the only thing we can think of doing (as exemplified by our politicians, press and 'B'.B.C., and leaders in Church and other organisations) is to lie down and ask anyone who cares to trample on us to do so. For example, about the beginning of October, 1955, the "British" Foreign Secretary spoke to Americans at a New York dinner held in his honour. The following extracts of what he said are a faithful indication of the quality of the persons we get in high office under modern conditions:

"Though there are necessarily still restrictions on the dollar, our dollar trading, taking imports together, has risen by nearly

10 per cent. We would be very grateful if you would allow the exports of our goods to you to rise still more."

"We hope you will reduce any barrier or dam so that we may, as it were, go on pedalling our way to prosperity. I do not think our slender share of your vast internal market can do you any harm. It means a great deal to us. Pray do not be too rigid in your self-protection."

"No doubt you have been reading about some of our economic difficulties and anxieties. I suppose your first reaction will be to say: 'Oh, Lord, the poor old English are in a mess again. It seems to happen every two or three years.' A new sense of buoyancy and hope has returned to the British people. Let us sing to you, in the words of the old song: 'And you'd look sweet, upon the seat, of a bicycle built for two.'"

That sort of thing, combined with Malcolm Macdonald's striptease acts for the edification of Malays, Chinese and Indians make it obvious that we must find men to put into our high offices of State if we do not wish to continue to be looked upon with contempt all over the world.

Now read the following extract from St. Paul in Britain? about Boadicea, cousin of the Claudia mentioned earlier:

"Dion Cassius gives us a sister picture of her cousin the Druidic Queen, under very different circumstances, during the same year in Britain. It is a grand and imposing composition, quite unique in history. Greece and Rome show us nothing like it. The Maid of Orleans, in more modern times, is the only approach to it, but all the terrible features are supplanted by gentler ones. We see a queen stung to madness by the wrongs which most nearly affect womanhood, leading a whole nation to battle; the sense of injury has changed her whole nature into that of a Bellona, an incarnate goddess of war, and she lives only for revenge. In her eyes every Roman is a monster already doomed. She would have been less than a woman not to have felt her dishonour, more than human not to have panted for the hour of retribution. 'Boadicea', writes Dion, 'ascended the general's tribunal; her stature exceeded the ordinary height of woman; her appearance itself carried terror: her aspect was calm and collected, but her voice had become deep and pitiless. Her hair falling in long golden tresses as low as her hips, was collected round her forehead by a golden coronet; she wore a tartan dress fitting closely to her bosom, but below the waist expanding in loose folds as a gown; over it was a chlamys, or military cloak. In her hand she bore a spear. She addressed the Britons as follows '—We give only her peroration:

'I thank thee! I worship thee! I appeal to thee a woman to a woman, O Andraste! I rule not, like Nitocris, over beasts of

burden, as are the effeminate nations of the East, nor, like Semi-ramis, over tradesmen and traffickers, nor, like the man-woman Nero, over slaves and eunuchs—such is the precious knowledge these foreigners introduce amongst us—but I rule over Britons, little versed indeed in craft and diplomacy but born and trained to the game of war ; men, who, in the cause of liberty, stake down their lives, the lives of their wives and children, their lands and property. Queen of such a race, I implore thine aid for freedom, for victory over enemies infamous for the wantonness of the wrongs they inflict, for their perversion of justice, for their contempt of religion, for their insatiable greed ; a people that revel in unmanly pleasures, whose affections are more to be dreaded and abhorred than their enmity. Never let a foreigner bear rule over me or these my countrymen ; never let slavery reign in this island. Be thou for ever, O goddess of manhood and of victory, sovereign and queen in Britain.' "

Having fought against tyranny for thousands of years and having been sustained by a strong faith throughout, first, the Druidic and then the Christian, are we now going to let our Christian and British faith and traditions go by default and tamely submit to the worst tyranny the world has ever known, just because we have allowed ourselves to become too drugged and bribed by the enemy to be able to recognise or to be willing to resist the enemy ?

It is clear from all signs that the second coming of our Lord, Jesus Christ, cannot be long delayed—(in the opinion of the writer probably not beyond the end of this century) ; so it is only a question of fighting on for a short time if we decide to keep our colours flying. And it is still really largely a question of deciding because, so far, we have been giving everything away through blind stupidity and giving in to all the insidious assaults on the weakest links in our characters. But it is essential that we decide quickly before we have surrendered our power to resist to the supranational organisations designed to subject us to the Jew-Khazar tyranny of World Government and Antichrist.

What we have to decide is, whether we stand for Christ or for antichrist, whether we stand for our Queen and Country or for World Jewry, whether we stand for individual freedom and human decency or for the worst form of slavery the world has ever known. And let there be no doubt about the fact that our surrender or defeat would eventually mean torture and death for all the Christians and possibly for all the Britons and other Nordics the enemy could lay their hands on, and slavery for the rest of the human race. Obviously there is only one possible solution. We must fight.

Once we have recognised the danger and decided to fight, the action to be taken is fairly obvious. Generally speaking, it is one of reversing most of the trends of recent years. One of introducing truth into our education and way of life. We need to introduce a sane economic and monetary system based on the Divine instructions to Moses and some such proposals as the Douglas Social Credit ones. We must pursue a policy of decentralisation and return to the common law of Britain.

A sane system of finance and national dividends would remove the need for Social Services. An enormous reduction in bureaucracy and the removal of all excuses for restrictive practices in industry would mean an immense increase in productivity combined with the possibility of releasing very large numbers of men for agriculture and emigration to the other Dominions.

Agriculture is a vocation, not an industry. It requires men, not mechanisation, relatively speaking. And, as far as possible, men working their own land. Agriculture breeds men.

It is largely a question of giving up wounding ourselves and, instead, we must stand up for ourselves, on conservative and Christian principles.